HUMPBACK WHALE (*Megaptera novaeangliae*):
Central North Pacific Stock

**STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE**

The humpback whale is distributed worldwide in all ocean basins, though it is less common in Arctic waters. In winter, most humpback whales occur in the temperate and tropical waters of the North and South Hemispheres (from 10°-23° latitude). Humpback whales in the North Pacific are seasonal migrants that feed on zooplankton and small schooling fishes in the cool, coastal waters of the western United States, western Canada, and the Russian Far East (NMFS 1991). The historic summering range of humpback whales in the North Pacific encompassed coastal and inland waters around the Pacific rim from Point Conception, California, north to the Gulf of Alaska and the Bering Sea, and west along the Aleutian Islands to the Kamchatka Peninsula and into the Sea of Okhotsk (Tomlin 1967, Nemoto 1957, Johnson and Wolman 1984). Humpback whales have been known to enter the Chukchi Sea (Johnson and Wolman 1984). The humpback whale population in much of this range was considerably reduced as a result of intensive commercial exploitation during this century.

Aerial, vessel, and photo-identification surveys indicate that there may be four relatively separate populations that migrate between their respective summer/fall feeding areas to winter/spring calving and mating areas (Barlow 1994, Figs. 31 and 32): 1) winter/spring populations in coastal Central America and Mexico which migrate to the coast of California to southern British Columbia in summer/fall (Steiger et al. 1991, Calambokidis et al. 1989, Calambokidis et al. 1993) - referred to as the California/Oregon/Washington and Mexico stock; 2) winter/spring populations of Mexico’s offshore islands whose migratory destination is not well known (Calambokidis et al. 1993) - referred to as the Mexico offshore island stock; 3) winter/spring populations of the Hawaiian Islands which migrate to northern British Columbia/Southeast Alaska and Prince William Sound (Baker et al. 1990, Perry et al. 1990) - referred to as the Central North Pacific stock; and 4) winter/spring populations of Japan which, based on Discovery Tag information, probably migrate to waters west of the Kodiak Archipelago (the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands) in summer/fall (Berzin and Rovnin 1966, Nishiwaki 1966, Darling 1991) - referred to as the Western North Pacific stock. However, some recent exchange between winter/spring areas has been documented (Darling and McSweeney 1985, Baker et al. 1986, Darling and Cerchio 1993), as well as movement between Japan and British Columbia, and Japan and the Kodiak Archipelago (Darling et al. 1996, Calambokidis et al. 1997). Currently, there are insufficient data to apply the Dizon et al.(1992) phylogeographic approach to classify population structure in humpback whales. Until further information becomes available, 4 management units of humpback whales (as described above) are recognized in the North Pacific: two in the Eastern North Pacific (the California/Oregon/Washington - Mexico stock, and the Mexico offshore island stock), one in the Central North Pacific,
and one in the Western North Pacific. The California/Oregon/Washington - Mexico humpback whale stock is reported separately in the Stock Assessment Reports for the Pacific Region.

**POPULATION SIZE**

This stock of humpback whales winters in Hawaiian waters (Baker et al. 1986). Baker and Herman (1987) used capture-recapture methodology to estimate the population at 1,407 (95% CI 1,113-1,701), which they considered an estimate for the entire stock (NMFS 1991). However, the robustness of this estimate is questionable due to the opportunistic nature of the survey methodology in conjunction with a small sample size. Further, the data used to produce this estimate were collected between 1980 and 1983.

The current abundance estimate of humpback whales in the North Pacific is based on data collected by nine independent research groups that conducted photo-identification studies of humpback whales in the three wintering areas (Mexico, Hawaii, and Japan). Photographs taken between 1991 and 1993 were used to estimate abundance because samples throughout the entire North Pacific were the largest and most complete during this period. Using Darroch’s (1961) method, which utilizes only data from wintering areas, and averaging the 1991-92, 1992-93, and 1991-93 winter release-recovery information results in an abundance estimate of 4,005 (CV=0.095) for the Central North Pacific humpback whale stock (Calambokidis et al. 1997).

The Central North Pacific stock of humpback whales consists of feeding aggregations along the northern Pacific rim. Humpback whale distribution in summer is continuous from British Columbia to the Russian Far East, and humpbacks are present offshore in the Gulf of Alaska (Brueggeman et al. 1989, Forney and Brownell 1996). The three feeding areas for the Central North Pacific stock that have been studied using photographs to identify individual whales are southeastern Alaska, Prince William Sound, and Kodiak Island. There has been some exchange of individual whales between these locations. For example, six whales have been sighted in Prince William Sound and southeastern Alaska since studies began in 1977 (Perry et al. 1990, von Ziegesar et al. 1994; S. Baker, D. McSweeney, J. Straley, and O. von Ziegesar, unpubl. data); nine whales have been sighted between Kodiak Island, including the area adjacent to Kodiak along the Kenai Peninsula, and Prince William Sound; and two whales between Kodiak and southeastern Alaska (Waite et al. in press). The humpback whales of the Central North Pacific stock show some degree of fidelity to feeding areas, with this fidelity maternally directed; that is, whales return to the feeding areas where their mothers first brought them as calves (Martin et al. 1984, Baker et al. 1987). However, the degree of this fidelity to a specific area is unknown for many whales and given the continuous distribution in the North Pacific, and the known interchange among areas, setting distinct boundaries between feeding areas may not be possible.

Using photographs of the unique markings on the underside of each whales’ flukes, there were 149 individual humpback whales identified in Prince William Sound from 1977 to 1993 (von Ziegesar 1992, Waite et al. in press). The abundance of the Prince William Sound feeding aggregation is thought to be less than 200 whales (Waite et al. in press). In southeastern Alaska, 648 individual humpback whales were identified from 1985 to 1992, resulting in an annual abundance estimate of 404 whales (95% CI:350-458) (Straley 1994). In the Kodiak Island region 127, individual humpback whales were identified from 1991 to 1994 (Waite et al. in press), resulting in an annual abundance estimate of 651 whales (95% CI:356-1,523). These estimates represent minimum estimates for the three known feeding areas because the study areas did not include the entire geographic region (i.e., the southeast Alaska study area did not include waters to the south of Chatham Strait). In addition, little is known regarding humpback whale abundance between feeding areas, south of Chatham Strait, and west of Kodiak Island. As a result, the sum of the estimates from these feeding aggregations (approximately 1,250) is considerably less than 4,005 animals.

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The minimum population estimate \(N_{\text{MIN}}\) for this stock is calculated according to Equation 1 from the PBR Guidelines (Wade and Angliss 1997): \(N_{\text{MIN}} = N/\exp(0.842\times\ln(1+[CV(N)]^2)^{0.5})\). Using the population estimate \(N\) of 4,005 and its associated CV(N) of 0.095, \(N_{\text{MIN}}\) for this humpback whale stock is 3,698.

**Current Population Trend**

Comparison of the estimate provided by Calambokidis et al. (1997) with the 1981 estimate of 1,407 (95% CI 1,113-1,701) from Baker and Herman (1987) demonstrates that the stock has increased in abundance between the early 1980s and early 1990s. However, the robustness of the Baker and Herman (1987) estimate is questionable due to the
opportunistic nature of the survey methodology in conjunction with a small sample size. As a result, although data support an increasing population size for this stock, it is not possible to assess the rate of increase.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for observed rates of increase have been estimated for humpback whale stocks in the Atlantic and have ranged from 3.0% to 14.6% (IWC 1994). Utilizing a birth-interval model, Barlow and Clapham (1997) have estimated a population growth rate of 6.5% (SE=1.2%) for the well-studied humpback whale population in the Gulf of Maine. However, there are no estimates of the growth rate of humpback whale populations in the North Pacific (Best 1993). Hence, until additional data become available from this or other North Pacific humpback whale stocks, it is recommended that the cetacean maximum net productivity rate ($R_{MAX}$) of 4% be employed for this stock (Wade and Angliss 1997).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Under the 1994 re-authorized Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the potential biological removal (PBR) is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: $PBR = N_{MIN} \times 0.5R_{MAX} \times F_R$. The recovery factor ($F_R$) for this stock is 0.1, the value for cetacean stocks listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act (Wade and Angliss 1997). Thus, for the Central North Pacific stock of humpback whale, $PBR = 7.4$ animals ($3,698 \times 0.02 \times 0.1$).

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

Fisheries Information

Three different commercial fisheries operating in Alaska waters within the range of the Central North Pacific humpback whale stock were monitored for incidental take by fishery observers during 1990-96: Gulf of Alaska groundfish trawl, longline, and pot fisheries. No humpback whale mortalities were observed for any of these Alaska fisheries. Fishery observers also monitored the Hawaii swordfish, tuna, billfish, mahi mahi, wahoo, oceanic shark longline/setline fishery during the same 7-year period. The range of observer coverage for this fishery, as well as the annual observed and estimated mortalities, are presented in Table 23. The observer program in the Hawaii fishery was voluntary from 1990 through 1993, leading to very low levels of observer coverage during those years (<1%). In 1994, the observer program became mandatory and observer coverage has been approximately 5% since that time. Fishery observers recorded one humpback whale entangled in longline gear in 1991. The fate of this animal is unknown, though it is presumed to have died. The mortality rate was not estimated from the 1991 mortality due to the low level of observer coverage in that year (<1%). Therefore, that single mortality also appears as the estimated mortality for 1991 and should be considered a minimum estimate. Note that another humpback whale was reported by fishers and whalewatch operators entangled in longline gear off Maui in 1993 (E. Nitta, pers. comm., Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Honolulu Laboratory, 2570 Dole St., Honolulu, HI, 96822). This report was never confirmed and the fate of this animal is also unknown. The estimated mean annual mortality rate in observed fisheries during the 5-year period from 1992-96 is zero humpback whales per year from this stock.

An additional source of information on the number of humpback whales killed or injured incidental to commercial fishery operations is the self-reported fisheries information required of vessel operators by the MMPA. During the 4-year period between 1990 and 1993, there were no fisher self-reports of humpback whale injuries or mortalities from interactions with commercial fishing gear in any Alaska fishery within the range of the Central North Pacific humpback whale stock. Self-reported fisheries data are incomplete for 1994, not available for 1995, and considered unreliable for 1996 (see Appendix 4). In 1994, the incidental take of a humpback whale was reported in the Southeast Alaska salmon purse seine fishery. Another humpback whale is known to have been taken incidentally in this fishery in 1989, but due to its historic nature has not been included in Table 23. In 1996, a humpback whale was reported entangled and trailing gear as a result of interacting with the Southeast Alaska drift gillnet fishery. This whale is presumed to have died. Averaging these two mortalities over the most recent 5 years for which data are available results in an annual mortality of 0.4 humpback whales based on self-reported fisheries information (Table 23). This is considered to be a minimum estimate because logbook records (fisher self-reports required during 1990-94) are most likely negatively biased (Credle et al. 1994).
Strandings of humpback whales entangled in fishing gear or with injuries caused by interactions with gear are another source of mortality data. Fishery-related humpback strandings have been reported in Hawaii (1992, 1996) and in Alaska (1992, 1994, 1996). In February 1992, the U. S. Coast Guard successfully disentangled a humpback from set longline gear (ulua fishery) off the island of Hawaii (Mazzuca et al. 1998). In February 1996, a juvenile humpback entangled in fishing gear (thought to be Hawaiian crab gear) was sighted off Oahu, then resighted the following week off Kauai (Mazzuca et al. 1998). This animal is believed to have perished after a disentanglement attempt left pieces of gear around a pectoral fin and through the mouth. An entanglement of a humpback whale occurred in the Southeast Alaska salmon drift gillnet fishery in 1992 and was reported as a stranding. In 1994, a humpback whale was reported in a weakened condition entangled in a fishing net with floats attached and is presumed to have died. The 1994 entanglement could not be attributed to a particular fishery. Due to the location of the report (Chatham Strait), the mortality has been included along with the data for the Southeast Alaska salmon drift gillnet fishery (Table 23), when it may have resulted from operations in the Southeast Alaska salmon purse seine fishery. In August 1996, an entangled humpback was released from fishing gear near Sand Point, Alaska. The whale slowly lumbered off, staying near the surface and is thought to have perished. Both 1996 mortalities have been listed in Table 23 as occurring in unknown fisheries because they can not be attributed to a particular fishery. There have been no reports of fishery-related strandings in northern British Columbia this decade. Fishery-related strandings from Hawaii and Alaska during 1992-96 result in an estimated annual mortality of 0.8 humpback whales from this stock. In August 1996, an entangled humpback was released from fishing gear near Sand Point, Alaska. The whale slowly lumbered off, staying near the surface and is thought to have perished. Both 1996 mortalities have been listed in Table 23 as occurring in unknown fisheries because they can not be attributed to a particular fishery. There have been no reports of fishery-related strandings in northern British Columbia this decade. Fishery-related strandings from Hawaii and Alaska during 1992-96 result in an estimated annual mortality of 0.8 humpback whales from this stock. This estimate is considered a minimum because not all entangled animals strand and not all stranded animals are found or reported.

Table 23. Summary of incidental mortality of humpback whales (Central North Pacific stock) due to commercial fisheries from 1990 through 1996 and calculation of the mean annual mortality rate. Mean annual mortality in brackets represents a minimum estimate. For a particular fishery, the most recent 5 years of available data are used in the mortality calculation when more than 5 years of data are provided. n/a indicates that data are not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery name</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Range of observer coverage</th>
<th>Observed mortality (in given yrs.)</th>
<th>Estimated mortality (in given yrs.)</th>
<th>Mean annual mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii swordfish, tuna, billfish, mahi mahi, oceanic shark longline/setline</td>
<td>90-96</td>
<td>obs data</td>
<td>&lt;1-5%</td>
<td>0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer program total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Alaska salmon drift gillnet</td>
<td>90-96</td>
<td>self reports</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, n/a, n/a, n/a, 1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[≥0.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Alaska salmon purse seine</td>
<td>90-96</td>
<td>self reports</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 1, n/a, n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[≥0.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Alaska salmon drift gillnet</td>
<td>90-96</td>
<td>strand data</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[≥0.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown fishery (Hawaii and Gulf of Alaska)</td>
<td>92-96</td>
<td>strand data</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[≥0.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total annual mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[≥1.2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimated minimum mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is 1.2 humpback whales per year, based on observer data (0), and self-reported fisheries information (0.4) or stranding data (0.8) where observer data were not available. As mentioned previously, this estimate should be considered a minimum. No observers have been assigned to several fisheries that are known to interact with this stock, making the estimated mortality unreliable. Further, due to a lack of Canadian observer programs there are few data concerning the mortality of marine mammals incidental to Canadian commercial fisheries, which are analogous to U.S. fisheries that are known to interact with
humpback whales. Though interactions are thought to be minimal, the lack of data regarding the level of humpback whale mortality related to commercial fisheries in northern British Columbia are not available, again reinforcing the point that the estimated mortality incidental to commercial fisheries is underestimated for this stock.

Subsistence/Native Harvest Information

Subsistence hunters in Alaska have not been reported to take from this stock of humpback whales.

Other Mortality

Ship strikes and interactions with vessels unrelated to fisheries have also occurred to humpback whales. In 1995, a humpback whale was reported in Hawaiian waters trailing line which did not appear to be related to a fishery. This animal then entangled in a mooring line, but was successfully released. However, the whale was subsequently attacked and killed by sharks. The extent to which the entanglement contributed to the shark predation is unknown. In 1996, another humpback was found in Hawaiian waters entangled in a line attached to a sea anchor. This animal appeared in good health after it was successfully released (E. Nitta, pers. comm., Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Honolulu Laboratory, 2570 Dole St., Honolulu, HI, 96822). During 1992-96, the only reported ship strike mortality of a humpback from this stock occurred in Oahu during February of 1996. Averaging these mortalities over the 5-year period from 1992 to 1996 results in a mortality rate of 0.4 whales per year from this stock resulting from ship strikes or entanglement in line (other than fishing gear).

The number of humpback whales in the North Pacific may have numbered approximately 15,000 individuals prior to exploitation (Rice 1978). Intensive commercial whaling removed more than 28,000 animals from the North Pacific during the 20th century and may have reduced this population to as few as 1,000 before it was placed under international protection after the 1965 hunting season (Rice 1978). This mortality estimate likely underestimates the actual kill as a result of under-reporting of the Soviet catches (Yablokov 1994).

STATUS OF STOCK

As the estimated annual mortality rate (1.6; 1.2 of which was fishery-related) is considered a minimum, it is unclear whether the level of human-caused mortality and serious injury exceeds the PBR (7.4). The minimum estimated fishery mortality and serious injury for this stock is not less that 10% of the calculated PBR (0.74) and, therefore, can not be considered to be insignificant and approaching a zero mortality and serious injury rate. The humpback whale is listed as “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act, and therefore designated as “depleted” under the MMPA. As a result, the Central North Pacific stock of humpback whale is classified as a strategic stock. The stock appears to have increased in abundance between the early 1980s and early 1990s; however, the status of this stock relative to its Optimum Sustainable Population size is unknown.

Habitat Concerns

This stock is the focus of a large whalewatching industry in its wintering grounds (Hawaii) and a growing whalewatching industry in its summering grounds (Alaska). Regulations concerning minimum distance to keep from whales and how to operate vessels when in the vicinity of whales have been developed for Hawaii waters in an attempt to minimize the impact of whalewatching. Similar, although more general, marine mammal viewing guidelines have been developed for Alaska waters. The growth of the industry, however, is a concern as preferred habitats may be abandoned if disturbance levels are too high.

Noise pollution from the Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate (ATOC) program in Hawaii waters is another concern for this stock. Results from experiments in 1996 off Hawaii indicated only subtle responses of humpback whales to ATOC-like transmissions. However, there are no data to address the possible long-term effects to humpbacks if the system were to become operational. Again, preferred habitats may be abandoned if disturbance levels are too high.
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