FIN WHALE (*Balaenoptera physalus*): Western North Atlantic Stock

**STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE**

The Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission (IWC) has proposed stock boundaries for North Atlantic fin whales. Fin whales off the eastern United States, Nova Scotia and the southeastern coast of Newfoundland are believed to constitute a single stock under the present IWC scheme (Donovan 1991). However, the stock identity of North Atlantic fin whales has received relatively little attention, and whether the current stock boundaries define biologically isolated units has long been uncertain. The existence of a subpopulation structure was suggested by local depletions that resulted from commercial overharvesting (Mizroch *et al.* 1984).

A genetic study conducted by Bérubé *et al.* (1998) using both mitochondrial and nuclear DNA provided strong support for an earlier population model proposed by Kellogg (1929) and others. This postulates the existence of several subpopulations of fin whales in the North Atlantic and Mediterranean, with limited gene flow among them. Bérubé *et al.* (1998) also proposed that the North Atlantic population showed recent divergence due to climatic changes (i.e., postglacial expansion), as well as substructuring over even relatively short distances. The genetic data are consistent with the idea that different subpopulations use the same feeding ground, a hypothesis that was also originally proposed by Kellogg (1929).

Fin whales are common in waters of the U. S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), principally from Cape Hatteras northward. Fin whales accounted for 46% of the large whales and 24% of all cetaceans sighted over the continental shelf during aerial surveys (CETAP 1982) between Cape Hatteras and Nova Scotia during 1978-82. While much remains unknown, the magnitude of the ecological role of the fin whale is impressive. In this region, fin whales are probably the dominant large cetacean species during all seasons, having the largest standing stock, the largest food requirements, and therefore the largest impact on the ecosystem of any cetacean species (Kenney *et al.* 1997; Hain *et al.* 1992).

There is little doubt that New England waters represent a major feeding ground for fin whales. There is evidence of site fidelity by females, and perhaps some segregation by sexual, maturational or reproductive class in the feeding area (Agler *et al.* 1993). Seipt *et al.* (1990) reported that 49% of fin whales sighted on the Massachusetts Bay area feeding grounds were resighted within the same year, and 45% were resighted in multiple years. The authors suggested that fin whales on these grounds exhibited patterns of seasonal occurrence and annual return that in some respects were similar to those shown for humpback whales. This was reinforced by Clapham and Seipt (1991), who showed maternally directed site fidelity for fin whales in the Gulf of Maine. Information on life history and vital rates is also available in data from the Canadian fishery, 1965-1971 (Mitchell 1974). In seven years, 3,528 fin whales were taken at three whaling stations. The station at Blandford, Nova Scotia, took 1,402 fin whales.

Hain *et al.* (1992), based on an analysis of neonate stranding data, suggested that calving takes place during October to January in latitudes of the U. S. mid-Atlantic region; however, it is unknown where calving, mating, and wintering occurs for most of the population. Results from the Navy's SOSUS program (Clark 1995) indicate a substantial deep-ocean distribution of fin whales. It is likely that fin whales occurring in the U. S. Atlantic EEZ undergo migrations into Canadian waters, open-ocean areas, and perhaps even subtropical or tropical regions. However, the popular notion that entire fin whale populations make distinct annual migrations like some other mysticetes has questionable support in the data; in the North Pacific, year-round monitoring of fin whale calls found no evidence for large-scale migratory movements (Watkins *et al.* 2000).

**POPULATION SIZE**

Two estimates of abundance are available from line-transect surveys. An abundance estimate of 2,200 (CV=0.24) fin whales was obtained from a July to September 1995 sighting survey conducted by two ships and an airplane. The survey covered waters from Virginia to the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Palka 1995).

A more recent estimate of 2,814 (CV=0.21) fin whales was derived from a 28 July to 31 August 1999 line-transect sighting survey conducted by a ship and airplane covering waters from Georges Bank to the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence (NMFS unpublished data; Palka 2006). Shipboard data were analyzed using the modified direct duplicate method (Palka 1995) that accounts for school size bias and for \( g(0) \), the probability of detecting a group on the track line. Aerial data were not corrected for \( g(0) \) (Palka 2000).
The 1999 estimate is considered the best available for the western North Atlantic fin whale stock because it is relatively recent. However, this estimate must be considered extremely conservative in view of the known range of the fin whale in the entire western North Atlantic, the uncertainties regarding population structure, whale movements between surveyed and unsurveyed areas, and aerial data having not been corrected for \( g(0) \).

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normally distributed best abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for fin whales is 2,814 (CV=0.21). The minimum population estimate for the western North Atlantic fin whale is 2,362 animals.

**Current Population Trend**

There are insufficient data to determine population trends for this species.

**CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES**

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. Based on photographically identified fin whales, Agler *et al.* (1993) estimated that the gross annual reproduction rate was at 8%, with a mean calving interval of 2.7 years.

For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow *et al.* 1995).

**POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL**

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a "recovery" factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size is 2,362. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The "recovery" factor, which accounts for endangered, depleted, threatened stocks, or stocks of unknown status relative to optimum sustainable population (OSP) is assumed to be 0.10 because the fin whale is listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). PBR for the western North Atlantic fin whale is 4.7.

**ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY**

The number of fin whales taken at three whaling stations in Canada from 1965 to 1971 totaled 3,528 whales (Mitchell 1974). Reports of incidental takes of fin whales are fewer over the last two decades than for other endangered large whales such as right and humpback whales. No reported fishery-related mortality or serious injury to fin whales in fisheries was observed by NMFS during 2000 through 2004. A review of NMFS records from 2000 through 2004 yielded an average of 1.8 human-caused mortalities and serious injuries per year - 0.8 per year resulting from fishery interactions/entanglements (U.S. waters, 0.4; Canadian waters, 0.2; Bermudian waters, 0.2), and 1.0 due to vessel collisions--all in U.S. waters (Table 1).

**Fishery-Related Serious Injury and Mortality**

No confirmed fishery-related mortalities or serious injuries of fin whales have been reported in the NMFS Fisheries Observer Program bycatch database. A review of the records of stranded, floating or injured fin whales for the period 2000 through 2004 on file at NMFS found three records with substantial evidence of fishery interactions causing mortality, and one record resulting in serious injury (Table 1), which results in an annual rate of serious injury and mortality of 0.8 fin whales from fishery interactions. While these records are not statistically quantifiable in the same way as the observer fishery records, they give a minimum estimate of the frequency of entanglements for the species. In addition to the records above, there are five additional records of entanglement within the period that either lacked substantial evidence for a serious injury determination, or did not provide the detail necessary to determine if an entanglement had been a contributing factor in the mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Report Type</th>
<th>Sex, age, ID length</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Assigned Cause: P=primary, S=secondary</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/11/00</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>10.9m female</td>
<td>New York harbor</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>hemorrhage and fractured bones on right side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2/01</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>18.1m female</td>
<td>New York harbor</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>dorsal abrasion marks, hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/01</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>14.5m female</td>
<td>Port Elizabeth, NJ</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>very fresh carcass hung on ship’s bow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/01</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>10.7m unknown</td>
<td>off Bermuda</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>extensive fresh entanglement marks, no gear recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/28/02</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>165 miles east of Truro, Cape Cod, MA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>heavy line seen on tail stock, appeared embedded, no gear recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/04</td>
<td>serious injury</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Pea Island, NC</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Entangled whale noticeably emaciated; no gear recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25/04</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>16.3m female</td>
<td>Port Elizabeth, NJ</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Displaced vertebrae, ruptured aorta; brought in on the bow of a cargo/container ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/04</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>12m est. unknown</td>
<td>150 nm east of Sandy Hook, NJ</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Fresh dead; heavy line constricting mid-section; no gear recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/04</td>
<td>mortality</td>
<td>15m est. unknown</td>
<td>St. Johns, NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Fresh carcass on bow of cruise ship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The date sighted and location provided in the table are not necessarily when or where the serious injury or mortality occurred; rather, this information indicates when and where the whale was first reported beached, entangled, or injured.

b. National guidelines for determining what constitutes a serious injury have not been finalized. Interim criteria as established by NERO/NMFS (Cole et al. 2005) have been used here. Some assignments may change as new information becomes available and/or when national standards are established.

**Other Mortality**

After reviewing NMFS records for 2000 through 2004, five records were found with sufficient information to confirm the cause of death as collisions with vessels (Table 1). These records constitute an annual rate of serious injury or mortality of 1.0 fin whales from vessel collisions. NMFS data include six additional records of fin whale collisions with vessels, but the available supporting documentation is insufficient to determine if the whales sustained mortal injuries from the encounters.
STATUS OF STOCK

The status of this stock relative to OSP in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown, but the species is listed as endangered under the ESA. There are insufficient data to determine the population trend for fin whales. The total level of human-caused mortality and serious injury is unknown. NMFS records represent coverage of only a portion of the area surveyed for the population estimate for the stock. The total U.S. fishery-related mortality and serious injury for this stock derived from the available records is less than 10% of the calculated PBR, and therefore can be considered insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. This is a strategic stock because the fin whale is listed as an endangered species under the ESA. A Recovery Plan for fin whales has been prepared and is currently awaiting legal clearance.
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