KILLER WHALE (*Orcinus orca*): Eastern North Pacific
Northern Resident Stock

**STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE**

Killer whales have been observed in all oceans and seas of the world (Leatherwood and Dahlheim 1978). Although reported from tropical and offshore waters, killer whales occur at higher densities in colder and more productive waters of both hemispheres, with the greatest densities found at high latitudes (Mitchell 1975, Leatherwood and Dahlheim 1978, Forney and Wade, 2006). Killer whales are found throughout the North Pacific. Along the west coast of North America, killer whales occur along the entire Alaskan coast (Braham and Dahlheim 1982), in British Columbia and Washington inland waterways (Bigg et al. 1990), and along the outer coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California (Green et al. 1992; Barlow 1995, 1997; Forney et al. 1995). Seasonal and year-round occurrence has been noted for killer whales throughout Alaska (Braham and Dahlheim 1982) and in the intracoastal waterways of British Columbia and Washington State, where pods have been labeled as ‘resident,’ ‘transient,’ and ‘offshore’ (Bigg et al. 1990, Ford et al. 2000) based on aspects of morphology, ecology, genetics, and behavior (Ford and Fisher 1982; Baird and Stacey 1988; Baird et al. 1992; Hoelzel et al. 1998, 2002; Barrett-Lennard 2000). Through examination of photographs of recognizable individuals and pods, movements of whales between geographical areas have been documented. For example, whales identified in Prince William Sound have been observed near Kodiak Island (Matkin et al. 1999) and whales identified in Southeast Alaska have been observed in Prince William Sound, British Columbia, and Puget Sound (Leatherwood et al. 1990, Dahlheim et al. 1997). Movements of killer whales between the waters of Southeast Alaska and central California have also been documented (Goley and Straley 1994).

Several studies provide evidence that the ‘resident’, ‘offshore’, and ‘transient’ ecotypes are genetically distinct in both mtDNA and nuclear DNA (Hoelzel and Dover 1991; Hoelzel et al. 1998, 2002; Barrett-Lennard 2000). Genetic differences have also been found between populations within the ‘transient’ and ‘resident’ ecotypes (Hoelzel et al. 1998, 2002; Barrett-Lennard 2000). Separate stock assessment reports have always acknowledged the distinction between residents, offshore, and transient killer whale populations.

Within the resident ecotype, association data were initially used to describe three separate communities in the North Pacific (Bigg et al. 1990; Ford et al. 1994, 2000; Matkin et al. 1999). The Southern Resident population is found in summer primarily in waters of Washington state and southern British Columbia. The Northern Resident population is found in summer primarily in central and northern British Columbia. Alaska resident whales are found in marine waters of southern and southwestern Alaska. Acoustic data (Ford 1989, 1991; Yurk et al. 2002) and genetic data (Hoelzel et al. 1998, 2002; Barrett-Lennard 2000) have confirmed that these three units represent discrete populations. Based on data regarding association patterns, acoustics, movements, and genetic differences, eight killer whale stocks are now recognized within the Pacific U.S. EEZ: 1) the Alaska Resident stock - occurring from southeastern Alaska to the Aleutian Islands and Bering Sea, 2) the Northern Resident stock - occurring from British Columbia through part of southeastern Alaska, 3) the Southern Resident stock - occurring mainly within the...
inland waters of Washington State and southern British Columbia, but also in coastal waters from British Columbia through California, 4) the Gulf of Alaska, Aleutian Islands, and Bering Sea Transient stock - occurring mainly from Prince William Sound through the Aleutian Islands and Bering Sea, 5) the AT1 transient stock - occurring in Alaska from Prince William Sound through the Kenai Fjords, 6) the West Coast transient stock - occurring from California through southeastern Alaska, 7) the Offshore stock - occurring from California through Alaska, and 8) the Hawaiian stock. ‘Transient’ whales in Canadian waters are considered part of the West Coast Transient stock. The Stock Assessment Reports for the Alaska Region contain information concerning all the killer whale stocks except the Hawaiian and Offshore stocks.

The Eastern North Pacific Northern Resident stock is a transboundary stock, and includes killer whales that frequent British Columbia, Canada and southeastern Alaska (Ford et al. 2000). They have been seen infrequently in Washington state waters.

**POPULATION SIZE**

Photo-identification studies since 1970 (Ford et al. 2000) have catalogued every individual belonging to the Eastern North Pacific Northern Resident stock (note that individual whales that have been matched between geographical regions and missing animals likely to be dead have been subtracted). The photo catalog included 216 whales as of 1998 (Ford et al. 2000; Table 28). Births and deaths since 1998 are not accounted for here.

Table 28. Numbers of animals in each pod of killer whales belonging to the Eastern North Pacific Northern Resident stock of killer whales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>204</strong></td>
<td><strong>216</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The technique used for estimating abundance of killer whales is a direct count of individually identifiable animals. Other estimates of the overall population size (i.e., N\text{BEST}) and associated CV(N) are not currently available. Because this population has been studied for such a long time, each individual is well documented, and except for births, no new individuals are expected to be discovered. Therefore, the estimated population size of 216 animals can also serve as a minimum count of the population.

Thus, the minimum population estimate (N\text{MIN}) for the Northern Resident stock of killer whales is 216 animals, which includes animals found in Canadian waters (see PBR Guidelines (Wade and Angliss 1997) regarding the status of migratory transboundary stocks). This approach is consistent with the recommendations of the Alaska Scientific Review Group (DeMaster 1996). Information on the percentage of time animals typically encountered in Canadian waters spend in U. S. waters is unknown.
Current Population Trend
Studies of ‘resident’ killer whale pods in the Pacific Northwest resulted in estimated population growth rates of 2.92% and 2.54% over the period from 1973 to 1987 (Olesiuk et al. 1990, Brault and Caswell 1993). These rates were for combined northern and southern resident communities. Their rate of increase appeared to be slowing in the early 1990s, and the population declined from approximately 1997 to 2001; the population increased back to approximately the 1997 level by 2004 (Ford et al. 2005).

Current and Maximum Net Productivity Rates
Studies of ‘resident’ killer whale pods in British Columbia and Washington waters resulted in estimated population growth rates of 2.92% and 2.54% over the period from 1973 to 1987 (Olesiuk et al. 1990, Brault and Caswell 1993). Recent analyses indicate that some pods in the Northern Resident population had increased at approximately 3% per year (P. Olesiuk as reported in Dahlheim et al. 2000). Therefore, the maximum net productivity rate ($R_{MAX}$) is estimated to be 3%.

Potential Biological Removal
Under the 1994 reauthorized Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the potential biological removal (PBR) is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: $PBR = N_{MIN} \times 0.5R_{MAX} \times F_{R}$. The recovery factor ($F_{R}$) for this stock is 0.5, the value for cetacean stocks with unknown population status (Wade and Angliss 1997). Thus, for the Eastern North Pacific Northern Resident killer whale stock, $PBR = 1.62$ animals ($216 \times 0.015 \times 0.5$).

Annual Human-Caused Mortality and Serious Injury

Fisheries Information
Due to limited coverage by Canadian observer programs, there are few data on the mortality of marine mammals incidental to Canadian commercial fisheries (i.e., those similar to U.S. fisheries known to interact with killer whales). The sablefish longline fishery accounts for a large proportion of the commercial fishing/killer whale interactions in Alaska waters. Such interactions have not been reported in Canadian waters where sablefish are taken via a pot fishery. No killer whale interactions have been reported in the British Columbia halibut longline fishery. Since 1990, there have been no reported fishery-related strandings of killer whales in Canadian waters. However, in 1994, one killer whale was reported to have contacted a salmon gillnet but did not entangle (Guenther et al. 1995). Data regarding the level of killer whale mortality related to commercial fisheries in Canadian waters, though thought to be small, are not readily available or reliable which could result in an underestimate of the annual mortality for this stock.

Subsistence/Native Harvest Information
Killer whales are not harvested for subsistence in Alaska or Canada.

Other Mortality
Collisions of killer whales with vessels occur occasionally. One mortality of a northern resident killer whale (C21) in Prince Rupert, BC was reported in 2006 (Williams and O’Hara 2010). The shooting of killer whales in Canadian waters has been a concern in the past. However, in recent years the Canadian portion of the stock has been researched so extensively that evidence of bullet wounds would have been noticed if shooting was prevalent (G. Ellis, Pacific Biological Station, Canada, pers. comm.).

Other Issues
In U.S. waters, there is considerable interaction between killer whales and fisheries aside from incidental take. Interactions between killer whales and longline vessels, specifically predation by killer whales on sablefish catch, have been well documented (Dahlheim 1988, Yano and Dahlheim 1995, Sigler et al. 2002). However, it is unknown whether these interactions also occur in Canada.

Status of Stock
The Northern Resident killer whale stock is not listed as “depleted” under the MMPA or listed as “threatened” or “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act. In 2001, the Committee on the Status of
Endangered Wildlife in Canada designated northern resident killer whales in British Columbia as “threatened” and listed in Schedule 1 of the Species at Risk Act (SARA) for Canada. Resident killer whales in British Columbia are considered to be at risk based on their small population size, low reproductive rate, and the existence of a variety of anthropogenic threats that have the potential to prevent recovery or to cause further declines (DFO, 2008). Human-caused mortality has likely been underestimated due primarily to a lack of information on Canadian fisheries; however, a review of the status of killer whales in Canada indicated that the available evidence suggests that mortality incidental to commercial fisheries is rare and does not have the potential to cause substantial population reductions in the future (Baird, 1999).

Based on currently available data, the estimated annual U. S. commercial fishery-related mortality level is zero, which does not exceed 10% of the PBR (0.16) and therefore is considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. The estimated annual level of human-caused mortality and serious injury (1) is not known to exceed the PBR (1.6). Therefore, the eastern North Pacific Northern Resident stock of killer whales is not classified as a strategic stock. Population trends and status of this stock relative to its Optimum Sustainable Population size are currently unknown.

HABITAT CONCERNS

Ford et al. (2005) showed that a sharp drop in coast-wide chinook salmon abundance during the late 1990s was correlated with a significant decline in resident whale survival. They noted that the whales’ preference for chinook salmon is likely due to this species’ relatively large size, high lipid content and, unlike other salmonids, its year-round presence in the whales’ range. They further note that resident killer whales may be especially dependent on chinook during winter, when this species is the primary salmonid available in coastal waters, and the whales may be subject to nutritional stress leading to increased mortality if the quantity and/or quality of this prey resource declines.

Vessel traffic, particularly increased whale-watching activity, is another potential concern for this stock.
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