BELUGA WHALE (*Delphinapterus leucas*): Eastern Chukchi Sea Stock

**STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE**

Beluga whales are distributed throughout seasonally ice-covered arctic and subarctic waters of the Northern Hemisphere (Gurevich 1980), and are closely associated with open leads and polynyas in ice-covered regions (Hazard 1988). Depending on season and region, beluga whales may occur in both offshore and coastal waters, with summer concentrations in upper Cook Inlet, Bristol Bay, the eastern Bering Sea (i.e., Yukon Delta, Norton Sound), eastern Chukchi Sea, and the Mackenzie Delta (Hazard 1988). Satellite transmitters on a few whales from the Beaufort Sea, Chukchi Sea and eastern Bering Sea stocks have lasted through the winter demonstrating that beluga whales from these summering areas overwinter in the Bering Sea and the stocks may use separate wintering locations (Suydam 2009; Alaska Beluga Whale Committee, unpublished data). Belugas found in Bristol Bay and the northern Gulf of Alaska/Cook Inlet remain in those areas throughout the year (Shelden 1994; Quakenbush 2003; NMFS and ADF&G, unpublished data). Seasonal distribution is affected by ice cover, tidal conditions, access to prey, temperature, and human interaction (Lowry 1985).

The general distribution pattern for beluga whales shows major seasonal changes. During the winter, the Beaufort Sea, eastern Chukchi Sea, and Bering Sea stocks occur in offshore waters associated with pack ice. In the spring, they migrate to warmer coastal estuaries, bays, and rivers where they may molt (Finley 1982, Suydam 2009) and give birth to and care for their calves (Sergeant and Brodie 1969). Annual migrations can be more than thousands of kilometers (Richard et al. 2001).

Eastern Chukchi Sea belugas move into coastal areas, including Kasegaluk Lagoon, in late June and animals are sighted in the area until about mid-July (Frost and Lowry 1990, Frost et al. 1993). Satellite tags attached to eastern Chukchi belugas captured in Kaseguluk Lagoon during the summer showed these whales traveled 1,100 km north of the Alaska coastline, into the Canadian Beaufort Sea within 3 months (Suydam et al. 2001). This movement indicated some overlap in distribution with the Beaufort Sea beluga whale stock during late summer. Satellite telemetry data from 23 whales tagged during 1998-2007 suggest variation in movement patterns for different age and/or sex classes during July-September (Suydam et al. 2005). Adult males used deeper waters and remained there for the duration of the summer; all belugas that moved into the Arctic Ocean (north of 75°N) were males, and males traveled through 90% pack ice cover to reach deeper waters in the Beaufort Sea and Arctic Ocean (79-80°N) by late July/early August. Adult and immature female belugas remained at or near the shelf break in the Chukchi Sea. After October, only three tags continued to transmit, and those whales migrated south through the eastern Bering Strait into the northern Bering Sea, remaining north of Saint Lawrence Island over the winter. A whale tagged in the eastern Chukchi Sea in 2007 overwintered in the waters north of Saint Lawrence Island during 2007/2008 and moved to near King Island in April and May before moving north through the Bering Strait in late May and early June (Suydam 2009).

The following information was considered in classifying beluga whale stock structure based on the Dizon et al. (1992) phylogeographic approach: 1) Distributional data: geographic distribution discontinuous in summer (Frost and Lowry 1990); 2) Population response data: distinct population trends between regions occupied in summer; 3) Phenotypic data: unknown; and 4) Genotypic data: mitochondrial DNA analyses indicate distinct
differences among the five summering areas (O’Corry-Crowe et al. 1997). Based on this information, 5 beluga whale stocks are recognized within U.S. waters: 1) Cook Inlet, 2) Bristol Bay, 3) eastern Bering Sea, 4) eastern Chukchi Sea, and 5) Beaufort Sea (Fig. 1).

**POPULATION SIZE**

Frost et al. (1993) estimated the minimum size of the eastern Chukchi beluga stock at 1,200 whales, based on counts of animals from aerial surveys conducted during 1989-1991. Survey effort was concentrated along the sea side of the 170 km long Kasegaluk Lagoon, an area known to be regularly used by belugas during the open-water season. Other areas that these belugas are known to frequent (e.g., offshore) were not surveyed. Therefore, these surveys provided only a minimum raw count. If this count is corrected using radio telemetry data, for the proportion of animals that were diving and thus not visible at the surface (2.62; Frost and Lowry 1995), and for the proportion of newborns and yearlings not observed due to small size and dark coloration (1.18; Brodie 1971), the total corrected abundance estimate for the eastern Chukchi stock is 3,710 (1,200 × 2.62 × 1.18) whales.

During 25 June to 6 July 1998, aerial surveys were conducted in the eastern Chukchi Sea (DeMaster et al. 1998). The maximum single day count (1,172 whales) was derived from a photographic count of a large aggregation near Icy Cape (1,018), plus animals (154) counted along an ice edge transect. This count is an underestimate because it was clear to the observers that many more whales were present along and in the ice than they were able to count and only a small portion of the ice edge habitat was surveyed. Furthermore, only one of five belugas equipped with satellite tags a few days earlier remained within the survey area on the day the peak count occurred (DeMaster et al. 1998).

In July 2002, aerial surveys were conducted again in the eastern Chukchi Sea (Lowry and Frost 2002). Those surveys resulted in a peak count of 582 whales. A correction factor for animals that were not available for the count is not available. Offshore sightings during this survey combined with satellite tag data collected in 2001 (Lowry and Frost 2001, Lowry and Frost 2002) indicate that nearshore surveys for belugas will only result in partial counts of this stock.

It is not possible to estimate the abundance for this stock from the 1998 survey. Not only were a large number of whales unavailable for counting, but the large Icy Cape aggregation was in shallow, clear water (DeMaster et al. 1998). Currently, a correction factor (to account for missed whales) does not exist for belugas encountered in such conditions. As a result, the abundance estimate from the 1989-91 surveys (3,710 whales) is still considered to be the most reliable for the eastern Chukchi Sea beluga whale stock.

Aerial surveys were conducted in the summer of 2012 in the northeastern Chukchi and Alaskan Beaufort seas in late June through August (Clarke et al. 2013). Those data are currently being analyzed by the Alaska Beluga Whale Committee and an updated estimate should be available by 2015.

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The survey technique used for estimating beluga whale abundance is a direct count that incorporates correction factors. Although coefficients of variation (CVs) of the correction factors are not available, the Alaska Scientific Review Group concluded that the population estimate of 3,710 belugas can serve as the estimated minimum population size because the survey did not include all areas where beluga are known to occur (Small and DeMaster 1995). That is, if the beluga distribution in the eastern Chukchi Sea is similar to beluga distribution in the Beaufort Sea, which is likely based on satellite tag results (Suydam et al. 2001, Lowry and Frost 2002), then a substantial fraction of the population was likely to have been in offshore waters during the survey period (DeMaster 1997). However, because the survey data are more than 8 years old, it is not considered a reliable minimum population estimate for calculating a PBR, and $N_{MIN}$ is considered unknown.

**Current Population Trend**

The current population trend for the eastern Chukchi Sea beluga stock is unknown.

**CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES**

A reliable estimate of the maximum net productivity rate is currently unavailable for this beluga whale stock. Hence, until additional data become available, it is recommended that the default maximum theoretical net productivity rate ($R_{MAX}$) for cetaceans of 4% be employed for this stock (Wade and Angliss 1997).
POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Under the 1994 reauthorized Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the potential biological removal (PBR) is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: PBR = N_{MIN} \times 0.5R_{MAX} \times F_R. This stock is considered relatively stable and not declining in the presence of known take, thus the recovery factor (F_R) for this stock is 1.0 (DeMaster 1995, Wade and Angliss 1997). However, the 2005 revisions to the SAR guidelines (Wade and Angliss 1997) state that abundance estimates older than 8 years should not be used to calculate PBR due to a decline in confidence in the reliability of an aged abundance estimate. Therefore, the PBR for this stock is considered undetermined (NMFS 2005).

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

New Serious Injury Guidelines

NMFS updated its serious injury designation and reporting process, which uses guidance from previous serious injury workshops, expert opinion, and analysis of historic injury cases to develop new criteria for distinguishing serious from non-serious injury (Angliss and DeMaster 1998, Andersen et al. 2008, NOAA 2012). NMFS defines serious injury as an “injury that is more likely than not to result in mortality.” Injury determinations for stock assessments revised in 2013 or later incorporate the new serious injury guidelines, based on the most recent 5-year period for which data are available.

Fisheries Information

Three different commercial fisheries that could have interacted with beluga whales from this stock were monitored for incidental take by fishery observers during 1990-1997: Bering Sea (and Aleutian Islands) groundfish trawl, longline, and pot fisheries. Observers did not report any mortality or serious injury to beluga whales incidental to these groundfish fisheries. In the nearshore waters of the southeastern Chukchi Sea, substantial efforts occur in gillnet (mostly set nets) and personal-use fisheries. Although a potential source of mortality, there have been no reported beluga whale takes as a result of these fisheries.

Based on a lack of reported mortalities, the inferred minimum mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is zero belugas per year from this stock.

Subsistence/Native Harvest Information

The subsistence take of beluga whales from the eastern Chukchi Sea stock is provided by the Alaska Beluga Whale Committee (ABWC). The most recent subsistence harvest estimates for the stock are provided in Table 1. Given these data, the annual subsistence take by Alaska Native hunters averaged 57.4 belugas landed during the 5-year period 2008-2012 based on reports from ABWC representatives and on-site harvest monitoring.

Table 1. Summary of the number of beluga whales landed by the Alaska Native subsistence harvest of eastern Chukchi Sea beluga whales, 2008-2012. It should be noted that the 2010 and 2011 statistics include takes at Kivalina (2 in 2010 and 2 in 2011) and Kotzebue/Noatak (0 in 2010 and 30 in 2011) which may be from a population that is genetically distinct from the main population comprising the eastern Chukchi Sea beluga whale stock. Totals include landed and struck and lost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reported total number landed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual number of animals landed (2008-2012)</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STATUS OF STOCK

Eastern Chukchi Sea beluga whales are not designated as “depleted” under the MMPA or listed as “threatened” or “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act. Therefore, the eastern Chukchi Sea stock of
beluga whales is not classified as a strategic stock. The population trend is unknown; however, at this time it is not possible to assess the status of this stock relative to its Optimum Sustainable Population size.

HABITAT CONCERNS
Evidence indicates that the Arctic climate is changing rapidly and significantly, and one result of this change is a reduction in the extent of sea ice in at least some regions (ACIA 2004, Johannessen et al. 2004). These changes are likely to affect marine mammal species in the Arctic. Ice-associated animals, such as the beluga whale, may be sensitive to changes in Arctic weather, sea-surface temperatures, or ice extent, and the concomitant effect on prey availability. Currently, there are insufficient data to make reliable predictions of the effects from Arctic climate change on beluga whales, but Laidre et al. (2008) and Heide-Jørgensen (2010) concluded that on a worldwide basis belugas were likely to be less sensitive to climate change than other Arctic cetaceans because of their wide distribution and flexible behavior. Increased human activity in the Arctic, including increasing oil and gas exploration and development, and increased nearshore development, have the potential to impact beluga whale habitat (Moore et al. 2000, Lowry et al. 2006). However, predicting the type and magnitude of the impacts is difficult at this time.
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