

DWARF SPERM WHALE (*Kogia sima*): Western North Atlantic Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

The dwarf sperm whale (*Kogia sima*) is distributed worldwide in temperate to tropical waters (Caldwell and Caldwell 1989; McAlpine 2009). Sightings of *Kogia* whales in the western North Atlantic occur in oceanic waters (Figure 1; Mullin and Fulling 2003; Roberts *et al.* 2015). Stranding records exist from Florida to Maine, but there are no stranding records for the eastern Canadian coast (Willis and Baird 1998).

Dwarf sperm whales and pygmy sperm whales (*K. breviceps*) are difficult to differentiate at sea due to similarities in appearance (Caldwell and Caldwell 1989; Bloodworth and Odell 2008; McAlpine 2009), and sightings of either species are often categorized as *Kogia* sp. When measurements can be obtained, diagnostic morphological characters have been useful in distinguishing the two *Kogia* species (Handley 1966; Barros and Duffield 2003), thus enabling researchers to use stranding data in distributional and ecological studies. Specifically, the distance from the snout to the center of the blowhole in proportion to the animal's total length, as well as the height of the dorsal fin in proportion to the animal's total length, can be used to differentiate between the two *Kogia* species (Handley 1966; Barros and Duffield 2003).

In addition to similarities in appearance, dwarf sperm whales and pygmy sperm whales demonstrate similarities in their foraging ecology. Staudinger *et al.* (2014) conducted diet and stable isotope analyses on stranded pygmy and dwarf sperm whales from the mid-Atlantic coast and found that the 2 species shared the same primary prey and fed in similar habitats.

Across its geographic range, including the western North Atlantic, the population biology of dwarf sperm whales is inadequately known (Staudinger *et al.* 2014). The western North Atlantic dwarf sperm whale population is being considered a separate stock for management purposes, although there is currently no information to differentiate this stock from the northern Gulf of Mexico stock(s). Additional morphological, genetic and/or behavioral data are needed to provide further information on stock delineation.

POPULATION SIZE

Total numbers of dwarf sperm whales off the U.S. Atlantic coast are unknown, although abundance estimates from selected regions of dwarf sperm whale habitat exist for select time periods. Because *K. sima* and *K. breviceps* are difficult to differentiate at sea, the reported abundance estimates are for both species of *Kogia* combined. The best estimate for *Kogia* spp. in the western North Atlantic is 3,785 (CV=0.47; Table 1; Palka 2012; Garrison 2016). This estimate is from summer 2011 surveys covering waters from central Florida to the lower Bay of Fundy. This




Figure 1. Distribution of *Kogia* spp. sightings from NEFSC and SEFSC shipboard and aerial surveys during the summers in 2004 and 2011. Isobaths are the 100-m, 1,000-m and 4,000- m depth contours.

estimate is almost certainly negatively biased. One component of line transect estimates is $g(0)$, the probability of seeing an animal on the transect line. Estimating $g(0)$ is difficult because it consists of accounting for both perception bias (i.e., at the surface but missed) and availability bias (i.e., below the surface while in range of the observers), and many uncertainties (e.g., group size and diving behavior) can confound both (Marsh and Sinclair 1989; Barlow 1999). The best estimate was corrected for perception bias (see below) but not availability bias and is therefore an underestimate.

Earlier abundance estimates

Please see Appendix IV for a summary of abundance estimates, including earlier estimates and survey descriptions.

Recent surveys and abundance estimates

An abundance estimate of 1,783 (CV=0.62) *Kogia* spp. was generated from aerial and shipboard surveys conducted during June–August 2011 between central Virginia and the lower Bay of Fundy (Palka 2012). The aerial portion covered 6,850 km of tracklines over waters north of New Jersey between the coastline and the 100-m depth contour through the U.S. and Canadian Gulf of Maine, and up to and including the lower Bay of Fundy. The shipboard portion covered 3,811 km of trackline between central Virginia and Massachusetts in waters deeper than the 100-m depth contour out to beyond the U.S. EEZ. Both sighting platforms used a double-platform data collection procedure, which allowed estimation of abundance corrected for perception bias of the detected species (Laake and Borchers 2004). Estimation of the abundance was based on the independent observer approach assuming point independence (Laake and Borchers 2004) and calculated using the mark-recapture distance sampling option in the computer program Distance (version 6.0, release 2, Thomas *et al.* 2009).

An abundance estimate of 2,002 (CV=0.69) *Kogia* spp. was generated from a shipboard survey conducted concurrently (June–August 2011) in waters between central Virginia and central Florida (Garrison 2016). This shipboard survey included shelf-break and inner continental slope waters deeper than the 50-m depth contour within the U.S. EEZ. The survey employed two independent visual teams searching with 25x bigeye binoculars. A total of 4,445 km of trackline were surveyed, yielding 290 cetacean sightings. The majority of sightings occurred along the continental shelf break with generally lower sighting rates over the continental slope. Estimation of the abundance was based on the independent observer approach assuming point independence (Laake and Borchers 2004) and calculated using the mark-recapture distance sampling option in the computer program Distance (version 6.0, release 2, Thomas *et al.* 2009).

Table 1. Summary of abundance estimates for the western North Atlantic <i>Kogia</i> spp. with month, year, and area covered during each abundance survey, and resulting abundance estimate (N_{best}) and coefficient of variation (CV).			
Month/Year	Area	N_{best}	CV
Jun–Aug 2011	central Virginia to lower Bay of Fundy	1,783	0.62
Jun–Aug 2011	central Florida to central Virginia	2,002	0.69
Jun–Aug 2011	central Florida to lower Bay of Fundy (COMBINED)	3,785	0.47

Minimum Population Estimate

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normally distributed best abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for *Kogia* spp. is 3,785 (CV=0.47). The minimum population estimate for *Kogia* spp. is 2,598 animals.

Current Population Trend

A trend analysis has not been conducted for this stock. There are 3 abundance estimates for *Kogia* spp. from: 1) summer 1998 surveys (536; CV=0.45); 2) summer 2004 surveys (395; CV=0.4); and 3) summer 2011 surveys (3,785; CV=0.47). Methodological differences between the estimates need to be evaluated to quantify trends.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that

cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow *et al.* 1995).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a recovery factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size for *Kogia* spp. is 2,598. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The recovery factor is 0.40 because the CV of the average mortality estimate is greater than 0.8 (Wade and Angliss 1997). PBR for western North Atlantic *Kogia* spp. is 21.

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

The estimated annual average fishery-related mortality or serious injury for *Kogia* sp. during 2010–2014 was 3.5 (CV=1.0; Table 2).

Fishery Information

The commercial fisheries that interact, or that could potentially interact, with this stock in the Atlantic Ocean are the Category I Atlantic Highly Migratory Species longline and Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico large pelagics longline fisheries (Appendix III).

The large pelagics longline fishery operates in the U.S. Atlantic (including Caribbean) and Gulf of Mexico EEZ. Pelagic swordfish, tunas and billfish are the targets of the large pelagics longline fishery. Total estimated annual average fishery-related mortality and serious injury during 2010–2014 was unknown for Atlantic dwarf sperm whales because species-specific mortality estimates could not be made. However, there was 1 report of a *Kogia* sp. seriously injured by the pelagic longline fishery during quarter 4 of 2011 in the mid-Atlantic Bight region. Estimated total serious injury of *Kogia* sp. attributable to the pelagic longline fishery during 2011 was 17.4 (CV=1.0; Garrison and Stokes 2012b). The annual average serious injury and mortality attributable to the Atlantic large pelagics longline fishery for the 5-year period from 2010 to 2014 was 3.5 animals (CV=1.0; Table 2) (Garrison and Stokes 2012a,b; 2013; 2014; 2016).

The Atlantic Highly Migratory Species longline fishery operates outside the U.S. EEZ. No takes of dwarf sperm whales or *Kogia* sp. within high seas waters of the Atlantic Ocean have been observed or reported thus far.

See Table 2 for bycatch estimates and observed mortality and serious injury for the current 5-year period, and Appendix V for historical estimates of annual mortality and serious injury.

Table 2. Summary of the incidental mortality and serious injury of Atlantic Ocean *Kogia* sp. in the pelagic longline commercial fishery including the years sampled (Years), the number of vessels active within the fishery (Vessels), the type of data used (Data Type), the annual observer coverage (Observer Coverage), the annual observed serious injury and mortality recorded by on-board observers, the annual estimated serious injury and mortality, the combined annual estimates of serious injury and mortality (Estimated Combined Mortality), the estimated CV of the combined annual mortality estimates (Est. CVs) and the mean of the combined mortality estimates (CV in parentheses).

Fishery	Years	Vessels ^a	Data Type ^b	Observer Coverage ^c	Observed Serious Injury	Observed Mortality	Estimated Serious Injury	Estimated Mortality	Estimated Combined Mortality ^d	Est. CVs	Mean Annual Mortality
Pelagic Longline ^e	2010 – 2014	80, 83, 82, 79, 78	Obs. Data Logbook	.08, .09, .07, .09, .10	0,1,0,0,0	0,0,0,0,0	0,17,0,0,0	0,0,0,0,0	0,17,0,0,0	NA, 1.00, NA, NA, NA	3.5 (1.0)
TOTAL											3.5 (1.0)

^a Number of vessels in the fishery is based on vessels reporting effort to the pelagic longline logbook.
^b Observer data (Obs. Data) are used to measure bycatch rates, and the data are collected within the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. Mandatory logbook data were used to measure total effort for the longline fishery. These data are collected at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC).
^c Proportion of sets observed.

Earlier Interactions

Between 1992 and 2009, 1 *Kogia* sp. was hooked, released alive and considered seriously injured in 2000 (in the Florida East coast fishing area) (Yeung 2001).

Other Mortality

During 2010–2014, 34 dwarf sperm whales were reported stranded along the U.S. Atlantic coast and Puerto Rico (Table 3; Northeast Regional Marine Mammal Stranding Network, Southeast Regional Marine Mammal Stranding Network; NOAA National Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Database unpublished data, accessed 15 June 2015 (SER) and 9 June 2015 (NER)). In addition, there were 11 records of unidentified stranded *Kogia*.

Table 3. Dwarf and pygmy sperm whale (*Kogia sima* (Ks), *Kogia breviceps* (Kb) and *Kogia* sp. (Sp)) strandings along the Atlantic coast, 2010–2014. Strandings that were not reported to species have been reported as *Kogia* sp. The level of technical expertise among stranding network personnel varies, and given the potential difficulty in correctly identifying stranded *Kogia* whales to species, reports to specific species should be viewed with caution.

STATE	2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			TOTALS		
	Ks	Kb	Sp	Ks	Kb	Sp	Ks	Kb	Sp	Ks	Kb	Sp	Ks	Kb	Sp	Ks	Kb	Sp
Maine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Massachusetts	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
New York	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	0
New Jersey	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	7	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Maryland	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Virginia	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	4	7	0
North Carolina	3	5	0	2	10	0	0	4	0	3	3	1	3	4	1	11	26	2
South Carolina	1	6	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	5	13	0
Georgia	0	2	1	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	5	1	5	1	0	5	16	2
Florida	3	17	0	2	14	1	0	10	0	0	9	6	0	9	0	5	59	7
Puerto Rico	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
TOTALS	8	38	1	8	34	1	2	21	0	7	26	8	9	23	1	34	142	11

There were two documented strandings of dwarf sperm whales along the U.S. Atlantic coast during 2010–2014 with evidence of human interactions. The first was a whale stranded in Florida during 2010 whose flukes were cut off by a public person on the beach. For the second, plastic was found in the stomach of an animal that stranded in New Jersey during 2011.

Stranding data probably underestimate the extent of human and fishery-related mortality and serious injury because not all of the dolphins that die or are seriously injured in human interactions wash ashore, or, if they do, they are not all recovered (Peltier *et al.* 2012; Wells *et al.* 2015). Additionally, not all carcasses will show evidence of human interaction, entanglement or other fishery-related interaction due to decomposition, scavenger damage, etc. (Byrd *et al.* 2014). Finally, the level of technical expertise among stranding network personnel varies widely as does the ability to recognize signs of human interaction.

STATUS OF STOCK

Dwarf sperm whales are not listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act, and the western North Atlantic stock is not considered strategic under the Marine Mammal Protection Act. Total U.S. fishery-related mortality and serious injury for *Kogia* sp. is not less than 10% of the calculated PBR and, therefore, cannot be considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. The status of dwarf sperm whales in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ relative to OSP is unknown. There are insufficient data to determine population trends for this species.

REFERENCES CITED

- Andersen, M.S., K.A. Forney, T.V.N. Cole, T. Eagle, R. Angliss, K. Long, L. Barre, L. Van Atta, D. Borggaard, T. Rowles, B. Norberg, J. Whaley and L. Engleby. 2008. Differentiating serious and non-serious injury of marine mammals: Report of the serious injury technical workshop, 10-13 September 2007, Seattle, WA. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-39. 94 pp. Available from: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/interactions/serious_injury_techmemo2008.pdf
- Angliss, R.P. and D.P. DeMaster. 1998. Differentiating serious and non-serious injury of marine mammals taken incidental to commercial fishing operations: Report of the serious injury workshop, 1-2 April 1997, Silver Spring, MD. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-13. 48 pp. Available from: <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/nmfsopr13.pdf>
- Barlow, J. 1999. Trackline detection probability for long-diving whales. pp. 209-221 *In*: G.W. Garner, S.C. Amstrup, J.L. Laake, B.F.J. Manly, L.L. McDonald and D.G. Robertson (eds.) Marine mammal survey and assessment methods. Balkema, Rotterdam. 287 pp.
- Barlow, J., S.L. Swartz, T.C. Eagle and P.R. Wade. 1995. U.S. Marine mammal stock assessments: Guidelines for preparation, background, and a summary of the 1995 assessments. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-6, 73pp. Available from: <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/sars/guidelines1995.pdf>
- Barros, N.B. and D.A. Duffield. 2003. Unraveling the mysteries of pygmy and dwarf sperm whales. Strandings Newsletter of the Southeast U.S. Marine Mammal Stranding Network. December 2003. NOAA Tech. Memo NMFS-SEFSC-521, 11 pp. Available from NMFS, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, FL 33149.
- Bloodworth, B.E. and D.K. Odell. 2008. *Kogia breviceps* (Cetacea: Kogiidae). Mamm. Species 819: 1–12.
- Byrd, B.L., A.A. Hohn, G.N. Lovewell, K.M. Altman, S.G. Barco, A. Friedlaender, C.A. Harms, W.A. McLellan, K.T. Moore, P.E. Rosel and V.G. Thayer. 2014. Strandings illustrate marine mammal biodiversity and human impacts off the coast of North Carolina, USA. Fish. Bull. 112: 1–23.
- Caldwell, D.K. and M.C. Caldwell. 1989. Pygmy sperm whale *Kogia breviceps* (de Blainville 1838): dwarf sperm whale *Kogia simus* Owen, 1866. pp. 235–260 *In*: S.H. Ridgway and R. Harrison (eds.) Handbook of marine mammals, Vol. 4: River dolphins and the larger toothed whales. Academic Press, San Diego. 442 pp.
- Garrison, L.P. 2016. Abundance of marine mammals in waters of the U.S. East Coast during summer 2011. Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Protected Resources and Biodiversity Division, 75 Virginia Beach Dr., Miami, FL 33140. PRBD Contribution # PRBD-2016-08, 21 pp.
- Garrison, L.P. and L. Stokes. 2012a. Estimated bycatch of marine mammals and sea turtles in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet during 2010. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-624, 59 pp.
- Garrison, L.P. and L. Stokes. 2012b. Estimated bycatch of marine mammals and turtles in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet during 2011. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-632, 61 pp.
- Garrison, L.P. and L. Stokes. 2013. Estimated bycatch of marine mammals and sea turtles in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet during 2012. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-655, 62 pp.
- Garrison, L.P. and L. Stokes. 2014. Estimated bycatch of marine mammals and sea turtles in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet during 2013. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-667, 61 pp.
- Garrison, L.P. and L. Stokes. 2016. Estimated bycatch of marine mammals and sea turtles in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet during 2014. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-696, 62 pp.
- Handley, C.O. 1966. A synopsis of the genus *Kogia* (pygmy sperm whales). pp. 62–69 *In*: K.S. Norris (ed.) Whales, dolphins, and porpoises. University of California Press, Los Angeles. xv + 789 pp.
- Laake, J.L. and D.L. Borchers. 2004. Methods for incomplete detection at distance zero. pp. 108–189 *In*: S.T. Buckland, D.R. Andersen, K.P. Burnham, J.L. Laake, D.L. Borchers and L. Thomas (eds.) Advanced distance sampling. Oxford University Press, New York. 416 pp.
- Marsh, H. and D.F. Sinclair. 1989. Correcting for visibility bias in strip transect surveys of aquatic fauna. J. Wildl. Manage. 53:1017-1024.
- McAlpine, D.F. 2009. Pygmy and dwarf sperm whales. pp. 936–938. *In*: W.F. Perrin, B. Würsig, and J.G.M. Thewissen (eds.) Encyclopedia of marine mammals, Second edition. Academic Press, San Diego. 1352 pp.
- Mullin, K.D. and G.L. Fulling. 2003. Abundance and distribution of cetaceans in the southern U.S. North Atlantic Ocean during summer 1998. Fish. Bull., U.S. 101:603–613.
- NMFS 2012. Process for distinguishing serious from non-serious injury of marine mammals: Process for injury determinations. National Marine Fisheries Service Policy Directive PD 02-038-01. January 2012. Available from: <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/op/pds/documents/02/238/02-238-01.pdf> [Federal Register Notice, Vol. 77, No. 14, page 3233, January 23, 2012]

- Palka, D. 2012. Cetacean abundance estimates in US northwestern Atlantic Ocean waters from summer 2011 line transect survey. Northeast Fish. Sci. Cent. Ref. Doc. 12-29, 37 pp. Available from: <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>
- Peltier, H., W. Dabin, P. Daniel, O. Van Canneyt, G. Dorémus, M. Huon and V. Ridoux. 2012. The significance of stranding data as indicators of cetacean populations at sea: Modelling the drift of cetacean carcasses. Ecol. Indic. 18: 278–290.
- Roberts, J.J., B.D. Best, L. Mannocci, E. Fujioka, P.N. Halpin, D.L. Palka, L.P. Garrison, K.D. Mullin, T.V.N. Cole, C.B. Khan, W.M. McLellan, D.A. Pabst and G.G. Lockhart. 2015. Density model for *Kogia* whales (*Kogia* spp.) for the U.S. East Coast Version 3.2, 2015-10-07, and Supplementary Report. Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina. Available from: <http://seamap.env.duke.edu/models/Duke-EC-GOM-2015/>
- Staudinger, M.D., R.J. McAlarney, W.A. McLellan and D.A. Pabst. 2014. Foraging ecology and niche overlap in pygmy (*Kogia breviceps*) and dwarf (*Kogia sima*) sperm whales from waters of the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 30(2): 626–655.
- Thomas, L., J.L. Laake, E. Rexstad, S. Strindberg, F.F.C. Marques, S.T. Buckland, D.L. Borchers, D.R. Anderson, K.P. Burnham, M.L. Burt, S.L. Hedley, J.H. Pollard, J.R.B. Bishop and T.A. Marques. 2009. Distance 6.0. Release 2. [Internet]. University of St. Andrews (UK): Research Unit for Wildlife Population Assessment. Available from: <http://www.ruwpa.st-and.ac.uk/distance/>
- Wade, P.R. and R.P. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for assessing marine mammal stocks: Report of the GAMMS Workshop April 3-5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-12, 93 pp. Available from: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/sars/gamms_report.pdf
- Wells, R.S., J.B. Allen, G. Lovewell, J. Gorzelany, R.E. Delynn, D.A. Fauquier and N.B. Barros. 2015. Carcass-recovery rates for resident bottlenose dolphins in Sarasota Bay, Florida. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 31(1): 355–368.
- Willis, P.M., and R.W. Baird. 1998. Status of the dwarf sperm whale (*Kogia simus*) in Canada. Can. Fld-Nat. 112:114-125.
- Yeung, C. 2001. Estimates of marine mammal and marine turtle bycatch by the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet in 1999-2000. NOAA Tech. Memo. NOAA-TM-SEFSC-467, 42 pp. Available from: NMFS, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, 75 Virginia Beach Dr., Miami, FL 33149.