ROUGH-TOOTHED DOLPHIN (*Steno bredanensis*): Northern Gulf of Mexico Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

The rough-toothed dolphin is distributed worldwide in tropical to warm temperate waters (Leatherwood and Reeves 1983; Miyazaki and Perrin 1994). Rough-toothed dolphins occur in both oceanic and continental shelf waters in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Fulling et al. 2003; Mullin and Fulling 2004). Rough-toothed dolphins were seen in all seasons during GulfCet aerial surveys of the northern Gulf of Mexico between 1992 and 1998 (Hansen et al. 1996; Mullin and Hoggard 2000).

The Gulf of Mexico population is provisionally being considered 1 stock for management purposes, although there is currently no information to differentiate this stock from the Atlantic Ocean stock(s). Additional morphological, genetic and/or behavioral data are needed to provide further information on stock delineation.

POPULATION SIZE

Estimates of abundance were derived through the application of distance sampling analysis (Buckland et al. 2001) and the computer program DISTANCE (Thomas et al. 1998) to sighting data. From 1991 through 1994, line-transect vessel surveys were conducted during spring in the northern Gulf of Mexico from the 200m isobath to the seaward extent of the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) (Hansen et al. 1995). Survey effort-weighted estimated average abundance of rough-toothed dolphins for all surveys combined was 852 (CV = 0.31) (Hansen et al. 1995). This was probably an underestimate and should be considered a partial stock estimate because the continental shelf areas were not entirely covered by either the vessel or GulfCet aerial surveys. As recommended in the GAMMS Workshop Report (Wade and Angliss 1997), estimates older than 8 years are deemed unreliable, and therefore should not be used for PBR determinations.

Data were collected from 1996 to 2001 during spring and fall plankton surveys conducted from NOAA ships Oregon II (1996, 1997, 1999, 2000) and Gordon Gunter (1998, 1999, 2000, 2001). Tracklines, which were perpendicular to the bathymetry, covered shelf waters from 20 to 200 m deep in the fall of 1998 and 1999 (Figure 1 and Table 1; Fulling et al. 2003). Surveys were also conducted during April/May from 1996 to 2001 (excluding 1998) in oceanic waters of the northern Gulf of Mexico from 200m to the offshore extent of the U.S. EEZ. Estimates for all oceanic strata were summed, as survey effort was not uniformly distributed, to calculate a total estimate for the entire northern Gulf of Mexico oceanic waters (Figure 1 and Table 1; Mullin and Fulling 2004). Due to limited survey effort in any given year, survey effort was pooled across all years to develop an average abundance estimate for both continental shelf and oceanic waters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;best&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 1998-2001</td>
<td>Outer Continental Shelf</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 1996-2001</td>
<td>Oceanic</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring &amp; Fall 1996-2001</td>
<td>OCS &amp; Oceanic</td>
<td>2,223</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Distribution of rough-toothed dolphin sightings from SEFSC spring and fall vessel surveys during 1996-2001. All the on-effort sightings are shown, though not all were used to estimate abundance. Solid lines indicate the 100m and 1,000m isobaths and the dotted line shows the offshore extent of the U.S. EEZ.
The combined estimated abundance of rough-toothed dolphins, pooled from 1998 through 2001, for the outer continental shelf shipboard surveys was 1,238 (CV=0.65) (Fulling et al. 2003). The estimate of abundance for rough-toothed dolphins in oceanic waters, pooled from 1996 through 2001, is 985 (CV=0.44) (Mullin and Fulling 2004). The best available abundance estimate for the rough-toothed dolphin in the northern Gulf of Mexico is the combined estimate of abundance for both the outer continental shelf and oceanic waters from 1996 to 2001, which is 2,223 (CV=0.41). This estimate is considered the best because these surveys have the most complete coverage of the species’ habitat. This species was observed in shelf waters, with 2 sightings occurring off the coast of Texas and 1 sighting off the southern Florida Panhandle (Fulling et al. 2003). Group sizes recorded for rough-toothed dolphins in shelf waters were 8, 11 and 20 individuals.

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normal distributed abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distributed abundance estimate as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for rough-toothed dolphins is 2,223 (CV=0.41). The minimum population estimate for the northern Gulf of Mexico is 1,595 rough-toothed dolphins.

**Current Population Trend**

There are insufficient data to determine the population trends for this species.

**CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES**

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive history (Barlow et al. 1995).

**POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL**

Potential biological removal level (PBR) is the product of the minimum population size, one half the maximum net productivity rate and a “recovery” factor (MMPA Sec. 3.16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size is 1,595. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The “recovery” factor, which accounts for endangered, depleted, threatened stocks, or stocks of unknown status relative to optimum sustainable population (OSP) is assumed to be 0.5 because the stock is of unknown status. PBR for the northern Gulf of Mexico rough-toothed dolphin is 16.

**ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY**

There were 2 documented strandings of rough-toothed dolphins in the northern Gulf of Mexico during 1987-1994 which were classified as likely caused by fishery interactions. There has been no reported fishing-related mortality of rough-toothed dolphins during 1998-2003 (Yeung 1999; Yeung 2001; Garrison 2003; Garrison and Richards 2004).

**Fisheries Information**

The level of past or current, direct, human-caused mortality of rough-toothed dolphins in the northern Gulf of Mexico is unknown. Pelagic swordfish, tunas and billfish are the targets of the longline fishery operating in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. There were no reports of mortality or serious injury to rough-toothed dolphins by this fishery in the Gulf of Mexico during 1998-2003 (Yeung 1999; Yeung 2001; Garrison 2003; Garrison and Richards 2004).

**Other Mortality**

There were 22 stranded rough-toothed dolphins in the northern Gulf of Mexico during 1999-2003, including 1 mass stranding of 19 animals in February 2001 (Table 2). There was no evidence of human interactions for these stranded animals. Stranding data probably underestimate the extent of fishery-related mortality and serious injury because not all of the marine mammals which die or are seriously injured in fishery interactions wash ashore, not all that wash ashore are discovered, reported or investigated, nor will all of those that do wash ashore necessarily show signs of entanglement or other fishery interaction. Finally, the level of technical expertise among stranding network personnel varies widely as does the ability to recognize signs of fishery interactions.
Table 2. Rough-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis*) strandings along the U.S. Gulf of Mexico coast, 1999-2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a  Florida mass stranding of 19 animals in February 2001

**STATUS OF STOCK**

The status of rough-toothed dolphins in the northern Gulf of Mexico, relative to OSP, is unknown. The species is not listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act. There are insufficient data to determine the population trends for this species. The total fishery-related mortality and serious injury for this stock is unknown, but assumed to be less than 10% of the calculated PBR and can be considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. This is not a strategic stock because average annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury does not exceed PBR
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