BELUGA WHALE \textit{(Delphinapterus leucas)}: Bristol Bay Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

Beluga whales are distributed throughout seasonally ice-covered arctic and subarctic waters of the Northern Hemisphere (Gurevich 1980), and are closely associated with open leads and polynyas in ice-covered regions (Hazard 1988). Depending on season and region, beluga whales may occur in both offshore and coastal waters, with concentrations in Cook Inlet, Bristol Bay, Norton Sound, Kasegaluk Lagoon, and the Mackenzie Delta (Hazard 1988). It is assumed that most beluga whales from these summering areas overwinter in the Bering Sea, excluding those found in the northern Gulf of Alaska (Shelden 1994). Seasonal distribution is affected by ice cover, tidal conditions, access to prey, temperature, and human interaction (Lowry 1985). During the winter, beluga whales occur in offshore waters associated with pack ice. In the spring, they migrate to warmer coastal estuaries, bays, and rivers for molting (Finley 1982) and calving (Sergeant and Brodie 1969). Annual migrations may cover thousands of kilometers (Reeves 1990).

The following information was considered in classifying beluga whale stock structure based on the Dizon et al. (1992) phylogeographic approach: 1) Distributional data: geographic distribution discontinuous in summer (Frost and Lowry 1990), distribution unknown outside of summer; 2) Population response data: possible extirpation of local populations; distinct population trends between regions occupied in summer; 3) Phenotypic data: unknown; and 4) Genotypic data: preliminary mitochondrial DNA analyses indicate distinct differences among summering areas (G. O’Corry-Crowe, unpubl. data, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, P.O. Box 271, La Jolla, CA 92038). Based on this information, 5 stocks of beluga whales are recognized within U. S. waters: 1) Cook Inlet, 2) Bristol Bay, 3) Eastern Bering Sea, 4) Eastern Chukchi Sea, and 5) Beaufort Sea (Fig. 17).

POPULATION SIZE

The sources of information to estimate abundance for belugas in the waters of western and northern Alaska have included both opportunistic and systematic observations. Frost and Lowry (1990) compiled data collected from aerial surveys conducted between 1978 and 1987 that were designed to specifically estimate the number of beluga whales. Surveys did not cover the entire habitat of belugas, but were directed to specific areas at the times of year when belugas were expected to concentrate. Frost and Lowry (1990) reported an estimate of 1,000-1,500 for Bristol Bay, similar to that reported by Seaman et al. (1985). Most recently, the number of beluga whales in Bristol Bay was estimated at 1,555 in 1994 (Frost and Lowry 1995a). This estimate was based on a count of 503 animals, which was corrected using radio-telemetry data for the proportion of animals that were diving and thus not visible at the surface (2.62, Frost and Lowry 1995b), and for the proportion of newborns and yearlings not observed due to their small size and dark coloration (1.18; Brodie 1971).
Minimum Population Estimate

The survey technique utilized for estimating the abundance of beluga whales in this stock is a direct count which incorporates correction factors. However, for this stock, it is unlikely that significant numbers of belugas remain in offshore areas or other areas that are not included in the survey area. Given this survey methodology, an estimate of the variance of abundance is unavailable. Consistent with the recommendations of the Alaska Scientific Review group (DeMaster 1997), a default CV(N) of 0.2 was used in the calculation of the minimum population estimate (N_{MIN}). N_{MIN} for this stock is calculated using Equation 1 from the PBR Guidelines (Wade and Angliss 1997): N_{MIN} = N/exp(0.842*[ln(1+{CV(N)})]^2). Using the population estimate (N) of 1,555 and the default CV (0.2), N_{MIN} for the Bristol Bay stock of beluga whales is 1,316.

Current Population Trend

Abundance estimates from surveys conducted in 1983, 1993, and 1994 are similar to estimates from the 1950s (Brooks 1955), suggesting this stock of beluga whales should be considered stable (Frost and Lowry 1990, 1995a).

Current and Maximum Net Productivity Rates

A reliable estimate of the maximum net productivity rate is currently unavailable for the Bristol Bay stock of beluga whales. Hence, until additional data become available, it is recommended that the cetacean maximum theoretical net productivity rate (R_{MAX}) of 4% be employed for this stock (Wade and Angliss 1997).

Potential Biological Removal

Under the 1994 re-authorized Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the potential biological removal (PBR) is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: PBR = N_{MIN} x 0.5R_{MAX} x F_R. As this stock is considered stable (Frost and Lowry 1990) and because of the regular surveys to estimate abundance and the annual harvest monitoring program supported by the Alaska Beluga Whale Committee (ABWC), the recovery factor (F_R) for this stock is 1.0 (Wade and Angliss 1997, DeMaster 1997; see discussion under PBR for the Eastern Bering Sea stock). Thus, for the Bristol Bay stock of beluga whales, PBR = 26 animals (1,316 x 0.02 x 1.0).

Annual Human-Caused Mortality and Serious Injury

Fisheries Information

Three different commercial fisheries that could have interacted with beluga whales in Bristol Bay were monitored for incidental take by NMFS observers during 1990-95: Bering Sea (and Aleutian Islands) groundfish trawl, longline, and pot fisheries. Observers did not report any mortality or serious injury of beluga whales incidental to these groundfish fisheries.

Table 13. Summary of incidental mortality of beluga whales (Bristol Bay stock) due to commercial fisheries from 1990 through 1995 and calculation of the mean annual mortality rate. Mean annual mortality in brackets represents a minimum estimate from logbook reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery name</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Range of observer coverage</th>
<th>Reported mortality (in given yrs.)</th>
<th>Estimated mortality (in given yrs.)</th>
<th>Mean annual mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observer program total</td>
<td>90-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol Bay salmon drift gillnet</td>
<td>90-93</td>
<td>logbook</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0, 1, 0, 0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[≥0.25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol Bay salmon set gillnet</td>
<td>90-93</td>
<td>logbook</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[≥0.25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total annual mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[≥0.5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional source of information on the number of beluga whales killed or injured incidental to commercial fishery operations is the logbook reports maintained by vessel operators as required by the MMPA interim exemption
Observers have never monitored the Bristol Bay salmon set gillnet and drift gillnet fisheries which combined are estimated to have over 2,800 active permits. During the 4-year period from 1990 to 1993, logbook reports included 1 mortality in both 1990 and 1991 from these fisheries (see Table 13) resulting in an annual mean of 0.5 mortalities from interactions with commercial gear. However, because logbook records are most likely negatively biased (Credle et al. 1994), these are considered to be minimum estimates. The 1990 logbook records from the Bristol Bay set and drift gillnet fisheries were combined. As a result, the 1990 mortality may have occurred in the drift net fishery. Complete logbook data after 1993 are not available. Larger fishery related mortalities resulting from these fisheries have been recorded in the past. In 1983 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game documented at least 12 beluga whale mortalities in Bristol Bay related to drift and set gillnet fishing (Frost et al. 1984).

The estimated minimum mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is 1 animal per year (rounded up from 0.5), based entirely on logbook data. However, a reliable estimate of the mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is currently unavailable because of the absence of observer placements in the Bristol Bay gillnet fisheries that are known to interact with this stock. Therefore, it is unknown whether the kill rate is insignificant. At present, annual mortality levels less than 2.6 per year (i.e., 10% of PBR) can be considered insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate.

### Subsistence/Native Harvest Information

The subsistence take of beluga whales from the Bristol Bay stock is provided by the ABWC, who reported that the number of whales harvested for subsistence during 1990, 1991, 1993 and 1994 was 20, 16, 34 and 16 whales, respectively (Frost and Suydam 1995). The 1992 harvest values are unavailable as they were not reported to the ABWC by the Bristol Bay villages. In 1995, the ABWC reported 9 whales taken from this stock, including 3 (33% of the total take) animals which were struck and lost (ABWC unpubl. data, ABWC, P.O. Box 69, Barrow, AK, 99723). Using the data from the most recent 5-year period (excluding the null data from 1992), the subsistence harvest has averaged approximately 19 animals per year during the period from 1990 to 1995.

In the draft stock assessment reports (Hill et al. 1996), subsistence mortality was averaged over the most recent 3-year period for which data were available. This was an attempt to incorporate interannual variability, while still recognizing that mortality rates have declined in recent years. However, based on a request from the ABWC, human-related removals have been averaged over the last 5 years for which data are available for all beluga whale stocks, except the Cook Inlet stock. This request was due to the large amount of interannual variability in harvest levels in most areas (letter from ABWC to Alaska SRG, 20 December 1996).

### Status of Stock

Beluga whales are not listed as “depleted” under the MMPA or listed as “threatened” or “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act. Based on currently available data, the estimated annual rate of human-caused mortality and serious injury (20) is not known to exceed the PBR (26). Therefore, the Bristol Bay stock of beluga whales is not classified as a strategic stock. However, as noted previously, the estimate of fisheries-related mortality is unreliable and, therefore, likely to be underestimated. The population size is considered stable, however, at this time it is not possible to assess the status of this stock relative to OSP.
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