COMMON DOLPHIN (*Delphinus delphis*):  
Western North Atlantic Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

The common dolphin may be one of the most widely distributed species of cetaceans, as it is found world-wide in temperate, tropical, and subtropical seas. In the North Atlantic, common dolphins occur over the continental shelf along the 200-2000 m isobaths and over prominent underwater topography from 50º N to 40º S latitude (Evans 1994). The species is less common south of Cape Hatteras, although schools have been reported as far south as eastern Florida (Gaskin 1992). In waters off the northeastern USA coast common dolphins are distributed along the continental slope (100 to 2,000 m) and are associated with Gulf Stream features (CETAP 1982; Selzer and Payne 1988; Waring *et al.* 1992; Hamazaki 2002). They occur from Cape Hatteras northeast to Georges Bank (35º to 42ºN) during mid-January to May (Hain *et al.* 1981; CETAP 1982; Payne *et al.* 1984). Common dolphins move onto Georges Bank and the Scotian Shelf from mid-summer to autumn. Selzer and Payne (1988) reported very large aggregations (greater than 3,000 animals) on Georges Bank in autumn. Common dolphins are occasionally found in the Gulf of Maine (Selzer and Payne 1988). Migration onto the Scotian Shelf and continental shelf off Newfoundland occurs during summer and autumn when water temperatures exceed 11ºC (Sergeant *et al.* 1970; Gowans and Whitehead 1995).

Westgate (2005) tested the proposed one-population-stock model using a molecular analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), as well as a morphometric analysis of cranial specimens. Both genetic analysis and skull morphometrics failed to provide evidence (p>0.05) of more than a single population in the western North Atlantic, supporting the proposed one stock model. However, when western and eastern North Atlantic common dolphin mtDNA and skull morphology were compared, both the cranial and mtDNA results showed evidence of restricted gene flow (p<0.05) indicating that these two areas are not panmictic. Cranial specimens from the two sides of the North Atlantic differed primarily in elements associated with the rostrum. These results suggest that common dolphins in the western North Atlantic are composed of a single panmictic group whereas gene flow between the western and eastern North Atlantic is limited (Westgate 2005).

There is also a peak in parturition during July and August with an average birth day of July 28th. Gestation lasts about 11.5 months and lactation lasts at least a year. Given these results western North Atlantic female common dolphins are likely on a 2-3 year calving interval. Females become sexually mature earlier (8 years) than males (11 years) as males continue to increase in size and mass. There is significant sexual dimorphism present with males being on average about 9% larger in body length (Westgate 2005).

POPULATION SIZE

The total number of common dolphins off the U.S. or Canadian Atlantic coast is unknown, although several abundance estimates are available from selected regions for selected time periods. The best abundance estimate for common dolphins is 120,743 animals (CV = 0.23). This is the sum of the estimates from two 2004 U.S. Atlantic surveys, where the estimate from the northern U.S. Atlantic is 90,547 (CV= 0.24), and from the southern U.S.
Atlantic is 30,196 (CV =0.54). This joint estimate is considered best because the two surveys together have the most complete coverage of the species' habitat.

Earlier abundance estimates

An abundance estimate of 29,610 common dolphins (CV=0.39) was obtained from an aerial survey program conducted from 1978 to 1982 on the continental shelf and shelf edge waters between Cape Hatteras, North Carolina and Nova Scotia (CETAP 1982). An abundance estimate of 22,215 (CV=0.40) common dolphins was obtained from a June and July 1991 shipboard line-transect sighting survey conducted primarily between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths from Cape Hatteras to Georges Bank (Waring et al. 1992; Waring 1998). An abundance estimate of 1,645 (CV=0.47) common dolphins was obtained from a June and July 1993 shipboard line-transect sighting survey conducted principally between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths from the southern edge of Georges Bank, across the Northeast Channel to the southeastern edge of the Scotian Shelf (NMFS 1993). An abundance estimate of 6,741 (CV=0.69) common dolphins was obtained from a July to September 1995 sighting survey conducted by two ships and an airplane that covered 32,600 km in waters from Virginia to the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence. An abundance estimate of 30,768 (CV=0.32) common dolphins was generated from a line-transect sighting survey conducted during 6 July to 6 September 1998 by a ship and plane that surveyed 15,900 km of track line in waters north of Maryland (38ºN)(Palka 2006). The SEFSC conducted a shipboard line-transect sighting survey between 8 July and 17 August 1998, surveying 4,163 km of track line in waters south of Maryland (38ºN) and sighted no common dolphins (Mullin and Fulling 2003). Although the 1991, 1993, 1995, and 1998 surveys did not sample the same areas or encompass the entire common dolphin habitat (e.g., little effort in Scotian shelf edge waters), they did focus on segments of known or suspected high-use habitats off the northeastern USA coast. As recommended in the GAMMS Workshop Report (Wade and Angliss 1997), estimates older than eight years are deemed unreliable and should not be used for PBR determinations.

Recent surveys and abundance estimates

An abundance estimate of 6,460 (CV=0.74) common dolphins was obtained from an aerial survey conducted in July and August 2002 which covered 7,465 km of trackline over waters from the 1000 m depth contour on the southern edge of Georges Bank to Maine (Table 1; Palka 2006). The value of g(0) used for this estimation was derived from the pooled data of 2002, 2004 and 2006 aerial survey data.

An abundance estimate of 90,547 (CV= 0.244) common dolphins was obtained from a line-transect sighting survey conducted during 12 June to 4 August 2004 by a ship and plane that surveyed 10,761 km of trackline in waters north of Maryland (38ºN) (Table 1; Palka 2006.). Shipboard data were collected using the two independent team line transect method and analyzed using the modified direct duplicate method (Palka 1995) accounting for biases due to school size and other potential covariates, reactive movements (Palka and Hammond 2001), and g(0), the probability of detecting a group on the track line. Aerial data were collected using the Hiby circle-back line transect method (Hiby 1999) and analyzed accounting for g(0) and biases due to school size and other potential covariates (Palka 2005).

An abundance estimate of 30,196 (CV=0.537) common dolphins was derived from a shipboard survey of the U.S. Atlantic outer continental shelf and continental slope (water depths > 50m) between Florida and Maryland (27.5 and 38º N latitude) conducted during June-August, 2004 (Table 1). The survey employed two independent visual teams searching with 50x bigeye binoculars. Survey effort was stratified to include increased effort along the continental shelf break and Gulf Stream front in the Mid-Atlantic. The survey included 5,659 km of trackline, and accomplished a total of 473 cetacean sightings. Sightings were most frequent in waters north of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina along the shelf break. Data were corrected for visibility bias (g(0)) and group-size bias and analyzed using line-transect distance analysis (Palka, 1995, 2006; Buckland et al. 2001).

An abundance estimate of 84,000 (CV=0.36) common dolphins was obtained from an aerial survey conducted in August 2006 which covered 10,676 km of trackline in the region from the 2000 m depth contour on the southern edge of Georges Bank to the upper Bay of Fundy and to the entrance of the Gulf of St. Lawrence. (Table 1; Palka pers. comm.)
Table 1. Summary of abundance estimates for western North Atlantic common dolphin. Month, year, and area covered during each abundance survey, and resulting abundance estimate ($N_{\text{best}}$) and coefficient of variation (CV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>$N_{\text{best}}$</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2002</td>
<td>S. Gulf of Maine to Maine</td>
<td>6,460</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Maryland to Bay of Fundy</td>
<td>90,547</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Florida to Maryland</td>
<td>30,196</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Florida to Bay of Fundy (COMBINED)</td>
<td>120,743</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2006</td>
<td>S. Gulf of Maine to upper Bay of Fundy to Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normally distributed best abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for common dolphins is 120,743 animals (CV =0.23) derived from the 2004 surveys. The minimum population estimate for the western North Atlantic common dolphin is 99,975.

**Current Population Trend**

A trend analysis has not been conducted for this species.

**CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES**

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow et al. 1995).

**POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL**

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a “recovery” factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size is 99,975 animals. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The “recovery” factor, which accounts for endangered, depleted, threatened, or stocks of unknown status, relative to optimum sustainable population (OSP) is assumed to be 0.5 because the CV of the average mortality estimate is less than 0.3 (Wade and Angliss 1997). PBR for the western North Atlantic stock of common dolphin is 1,000.

**ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY**

Total annual estimated average fishery-related mortality or serious injury to this stock during 2001-2005 was 151 (CV=.11) common dolphins (Table 2).

**Fishery information**

Detailed fishery information is reported in Appendix III.

**Earlier Interactions**

Prior to 1977, there was no documentation of marine mammal bycatch in distant-water fleet (DWF) activities off the northeast coast of the U.S. With implementation of the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA), an observer program was established which recorded fishery data and information on
incidental bycatch of marine mammals. During the period 1977-1986, observers recorded 123 mortalities in foreign *Loligo* squid-fishing activities. No mortalities were reported in foreign *Illex* squid fishing operations.

From 1977 to 1991, observers recorded 110 mortalities in foreign mackerel-fishing operations (Waring et al. 1990; NMFS unpublished data). This total includes one documented take by a U.S. vessel involved in joint-venture fishing operations. A U.S. joint venture (JV) mackerel fishery was conducted in the mid-Atlantic region from February-May 1998. Seventeen incidental takes of common dolphin were observed in this fishery.

In the Atlantic pelagic longline fishery between 1990 and 2005, 20 common dolphins were observed hooked and released alive.

Eight hundred and sixty-one common dolphin mortalities were observed between 1989 and 1998 in the pelagic drift gillnet fishery, resulting in an estimated annual mortality and serious injury attributable to this fishery of (CV in parentheses) 540 in 1989 (0.19), 893 in 1990 (0.18), 223 in 1991 (0.12), 227 in 1992 (0.09), 238 in 1993 (0.08), 163 in 1994 (0.02), 83 in 1995 (0), 106 in 1996 (0.07) and 255 in 1998 (0).

Twelve mortalities were observed in the pelagic pair trawl between 1991 and 1995. The estimated annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury attributable to this fishery (CV in parentheses) was 5.6 in 1991 (0.53), 32 in 1992 (0.48), 35 in 1993 (0.43), 0 in 1994 and 5.6 in 1995 (0.35).

The estimated fishery-related mortality of common dolphins attributable to the *Loligo* squid portion of the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic Squid, Mackerel, Butterfish Trawl fisheries was 0 between 1997-1998 and 49 in 1999 (CV=0.97). After 1999 this fishery is included as a component of the mid-Atlantic bottom trawl fishery.

In the Atlantic mackerel portion of the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic Squid, Mackerel, Butterfish Trawl fisheries, the estimated fishery-related mortality was 161 (CV=0.49) animals in 1997 and 0 in 1998 and 1999. However, the estimates in both the mackerel and *Loligo* fisheries should be viewed with caution due to the extremely low (<1%) observer coverage. After 1999 this fishery is included as a component of the mid-Atlantic bottom trawl and mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl fisheries.

There was one observed take in the Southern New England/mid-Atlantic Bottom Trawl fishery reported in 1997. The estimated fishery-related mortality for common dolphins attributable to this fishery was 93 (CV= 1.06) in 1997 and 0 in 1998 and 1999. After 1999 this fishery is included as a component of the mid-Atlantic bottom trawl fishery.

No common dolphins were taken in observed mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery trips during 1993 and 1994. Two common dolphins were observed taken in 1995, 1996 and 1997, and no takes were observed from 1998 to 2005. Using the observed takes, the estimated annual mortality (CV in parentheses) attributed to this fishery was 7.4 in 1995 (0.69), 43 in 1996 (0.79), 16 in 1997 (0.53), and 0 in 1998-2005.

For more details on the earlier fishery interactions see Waring et al. 2007.

**Northeast Sink Gillnet**

Four common dolphins were observed taken in northeast sink gillnet fisheries in 2005. The estimated annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury attributable to the northeast sink gillnet fishery (CV in parentheses) was 0 in 1995, 63 in 1996 (1.39), 0 in 1997, 0 in 1998, 146 in 1999 (0.97), 0 in 2000-2004 and 5 (0.80) in 2005. This fishery, which extends from North Carolina to New York, is actually a combination of small vessel fisheries that target a variety of fish species, some of which operate right off the beach. The number of vessels in this fishery is unknown, because records which are held by both state and federal agencies have not been centralized and standardized.

**Northeast Bottom Trawl**

This fishery is active in New England waters in all seasons. One common dolphin was observed taken in 2002, three in 2004, and five in 2005 (Table 2). The estimated annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury attributable to the northeast bottom trawl fishery (CV in parentheses) was 27 in 2000 (0.29), 30 (0.30) in 2001, 26 (0.29) in 2002, 26 (0.29) in 2003, 26 (0.29) in 2004, and 32 (0.28) in 2005. The 2001-2005 average mortality attributed to the northeast bottom trawl was 28 animals (CV=0.13).

**Mid-Atlantic Bottom Trawl**

Three common dolphins were observed taken in mid-Atlantic bottom trawl fisheries in 2000, two in 2001, nine in 2004, and 15 in 2005 (Table 2). The estimated annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury attributable to the northeast bottom trawl fishery (CV in parentheses) was 93 in 2000 (0.26), 103 (0.27) in 2001, 87 (0.27) in 2002, 99 (0.28) in 2003, 159 (0.30) in 2004, and 141 (0.29) in 2005. The 2001-2005 average mortality attributed to the mid-Atlantic bottom trawl was 118 animals (CV=0.13).
Table 2. Summary of the incidental mortality of common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) by commercial fishery including the years sampled (Years), the number of vessels active within the fishery (Vessels), the type of data used (Data Type), the annual observer coverage (Observer Coverage), the mortalities recorded by on-board observers (Observed Mortality), the estimated annual mortality (Estimated Mortality), the estimated CV of the annual mortality (Estimated CVs) and the mean annual mortality (CV in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Vessels</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Observer Coverage</th>
<th>Observed Serious Injury</th>
<th>Observed Mortality</th>
<th>Estimated Serious Injury</th>
<th>Estimated Mortality</th>
<th>Estimated Combined Mortality</th>
<th>Estimated CVs</th>
<th>Mean Annual Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Sink Gillnet</td>
<td>01-05</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>Obs. Data Weighout, Logbooks</td>
<td>.04, .02, .03, .06, .07</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 4</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 26</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 26</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, .8</td>
<td>5 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>01-05</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>Obs. Data Dealer Data VTR Data</td>
<td>.01, .03, .04, .05, .12</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 1, 0, 3, 5</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>30, 26, 26, 32</td>
<td>30, 26, 26, 32</td>
<td>.30, .29, .29, .28</td>
<td>28 (.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>01-05</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>Obs. Data Dealer</td>
<td>.01, .01, .01, .03</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>2, 0, 0, 9, 15</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>103, 87, 99, 159, 141</td>
<td>103, 87, 99, 159, 141</td>
<td>.27, .27, .28, .30, .20</td>
<td>118 (.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 (.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The fisheries listed in Table 2. reflect new definitions defined by the proposed List of Fisheries for 2005 (FR Vol. 69, No. 231, 2004). The ‘North Atlantic bottom trawl’ fishery is now referred to as the ‘Northeast bottom trawl. The Illex, Loligo and Mackerel fisheries are now part of the ‘mid-Atlantic bottom trawl’ and ‘mid-Atlantic midwater trawl’ fisheries.

b. Observer data (Obs. Data), used to measure bycatch rates, are collected within the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. NEFSC collects landings data (Dealer reported data) which are used as a measure of total landings and mandatory Vessel Trip Reports (VTR) (Trip Logbook) that are used to determine the spatial distribution of landings and fishing effort.

c. The observer coverages for the Northeast sink gillnet fishery are ratios based on tons of fish landed. North Atlantic bottom trawl and mid-Atlantic bottom trawl fishery coverages are ratios based on trips.

d. The data used to predict bycatch rates to estimate annual mortality were pooled over the years 2001-2005. The data are treated as one data set and assumed to represent average fishing practices during the time period. Regression techniques within a model framework were applied to the pooled data set. Therefore, if there was no observed bycatch reported for any one given year, this does not imply that there was no bycatch during that year. The exception would be if year was selected by the model as an important factor associated with observing bycatch.

e. A new method was used to develop preliminary estimates of mortality for the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast bottom trawl fisheries during 2000-2005. They are a product of bycatch rates predicted by covariates in a model framework and effort reported by commercial fishermen on mandatory vessel logbooks. This method differs from the previous method used to estimate mortality in these fisheries prior to 2000. Therefore, the estimates reported prior to 2000 cannot be compared to estimates during 2000-2005.
Between January 1993 and December 1994, 36 Spanish deep water trawlers, covering 74 fishing trips (4,726 fishing days and 14,211 sets), were observed in NAFO Fishing Area 3 (off the Grand Banks) (Lens 1997). A total of 47 incidental catches were recorded, which included 1 common dolphin. The incidental mortality rate for common dolphins was 0.007/set.

Other Mortality

From 2001 to 2005, 323 common dolphins were reported stranded between Maine and Florida (Table 3). The total includes mass stranded common dolphins in Massachusetts during 2002 (9 animals), 2004 (one event of 6 animals and one of 3 animals), and a total of 25 in 2005 in 4 separate events; and in North Carolina in 2001 (7 animals). Five of the 2005 Massachusetts stranded animals were released alive. One stranded common dolphin calf in New Jersey was relocated to a rehabilitation facility in 2005. In 2001, one stranding mortality in Virginia and another animal in North Carolina were designated as human interactions/fishing interactions. Similarly in 2002, one stranding in New York and another animal in Virginia were designated as human interactions/fishery interactions. Common dolphins were included in the UME (unusual mortality event) declared for Virginia in 2004 (Marine Mammal Commission 2006). The strandings were primarily bottlenose dolphins, but common dolphins were also involved. Human interactions were implicated in one of the 2004 Virginia common dolphin mortalities. In 2005, one stranding mortality in New York was designated as human interaction.

Four common dolphin strandings (6 individuals) were reported on Sable Island, Nova Scotia from 1996 to 1998 (Lucas and Hooker 1997; Lucas and Hooker 2000). One common dolphin was reported stranded in Halifax County, Nova Scotia in 2005 (Tonya Wimmer, pers. comm.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Virginia reports 1 common dolphin found in a pound net in 2004.
c. Fishery Interactions (FI)/Human Interactions (HI) - North Carolina reported 1 HI, fishing gear, April 2001; Virginia - 1 FI March 2001.
e. 2002 FI, one in NY, one in Va.

Stranding data probably underestimate the extent of fishery-related mortality and serious injury because all of the marine mammals that die or are seriously injured may not wash ashore, nor will all of those that do wash ashore necessarily show signs of entanglement or other fishery-interaction. Finally, the level of technical expertise among stranding network personnel varies widely as does the ability to recognize signs of fishery interaction.
STATUS OF STOCK
The status of common dolphins, relative to OSP, in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown. The species is not listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act. There are insufficient data to determine the population trends for this species. The total U.S. fishery-related mortality and serious injury for this stock is not less than 10% of the calculated PBR and, therefore, cannot be considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. The 2001-2005 average annual human-related mortality does not exceed PBR; therefore, this is not a strategic stock.
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