GRAY SEAL (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*): Western North Atlantic Stock

**STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE**

The gray seal is found on both sides of the North Atlantic, with three major populations: eastern Canada, northwestern Europe and the Baltic Sea (Katona *et al.* 1993). The western North Atlantic stock is equivalent to the eastern Canada population, and ranges from New York to Labrador (Davies 1957; Mansfield 1966; Katona *et al.* 1993; Lesage and Hammill 2001). This stock is separated by geography, differences in the breeding season, and mitochondrial DNA variation from the northeastern Atlantic stock (Bonner 1981; Boskovic *et al.* 1996; Lesage and Hammill 2001). There are three breeding concentrations in eastern Canada: Sable Island, Gulf of St. Lawrence, and along the coast of Nova Scotia (Laviguer and Hammill 1993). Tagging studies indicate that there is little intermixing between the two breeding groups (Zwanenberg and Bowen 1990) and, for management purposes, they are treated by the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) as separate stocks (Mohn and Bowen 1996). Outside the breeding period, there is overlap in the distribution of animals from the three colonies (Laviguer and Hammill 1993; Harvey *et al.* 2008; Breed *et al.* 2006, 2009, Hammill, pers. comm. DFO, Mont-Joli, Quebec, Canada). In the mid-1980s, small numbers of animals and pupping were observed on several isolated islands along the Maine coast and in Nantucket-Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts (Katona *et al.* 1993; Rough 1995: Gilbert *et al.* 2005). In the late 1990s, a year-round breeding population of approximately 400+ animals was documented on outer Cape Cod and Muskeget Island (D. Murley, pers. comm., Mass. Audubon Society, Wellfleet, MA). In December 2001, NMFS initiated aerial surveys to monitor gray seal pup production on Muskeget Island and adjacent sites in Nantucket Sound, and Green and Seal Islands off the coast of Maine (Wood *et al.* 2007). To assess the stock structure of gray seals in the northwest Atlantic, tissue samples were collected from Canadian and US populations for genetic analyses (Wood *et al.* 2011). Based on examination of nine highly variable microsatellite loci, all individuals were placed into one population. This provides additional confirmation that recolonization by Canadian gray seals is the source of the U.S. population.

**POPULATION SIZE**

Current estimates of the total western Atlantic gray seal population are not available; although estimates of portions of the stock are available for select time periods. The size of the total Canadian population from 1969-2012 has been estimated using updated age-specific reproductive rate data, and accounting for higher pup mortality in the Gulf of St. Lawrence breeding colony due to years with poor ice condition (DFO 2013; Hammill *et al.* 2012). For Sable Island the 2012 pup production estimate is 67,000 (95% CI=56,000 to 85,000), with the total population size estimate being 262,000 (95% CI 219,000-332,000). Model estimates for coastal Nova Scotia were 2,300 (95% CI =1,100-3800) pups and a total population of 20,000 (95% CI= 17,000-23,000) in 2012. For the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 2012, pup production was estimated to be 7,000 (95% CI=2,900-15,200), and a total population of 49,000 (95%...
CI = 27,000-102,000). The combined 2012 pup production is estimated to be 76,300 (95% CI=60,000-105,000), with a total population of 331,000 (95% CI=262,000-458,000; DFO 2013). Differences between the total 2012 and 2010 (Thomas et al. 2011) estimates are due solely to differences in modeling approaches (DFO 2013; Hammill et al. 2012). The new model estimates replace the 2010 pup production and total population estimates reported in Thomas et al. (2011). Average annual rates of total population increase were estimated to be 6% in the 1980s, 9% in the 1990s, and 6% in the 2000s. The authors note that these estimates should be treated with caution due to modeling and data concerns. In comparison to the pooled estimates, Bowen et al. (2003) reported that the Sable Island population had been increasing by approximately 13% for nearly 40 years, but subsequently declined to 7% based on the 2004 pup production survey (Trzcinski et al. 2005; Bowen et al. 2007). The 2012 estimates suggest that the Sable Island population continued to increase at a rate of about 2.8% since 2010 (Hammill et al. 2012). Whereas, the coastal Nova Scotia and Gulf of St. Lawrence stocks do not appear to have shown much change in abundance since 2010 (DFO 2013).

In U.S. waters, gray seals currently pup at three established colonies: Muskeget Island, Massachusetts, Green Island, Maine, and Seal Island, Maine, as well as, more recently, at Matinicus Rock and Mount Desert Rock in Maine. Although white coated pups have stranded on eastern Long Island beaches, no pupping colonies have been detected in that region. Gray seals have been observed using the historic pupping site on Muskeget Island in Massachusetts since 1990. Pupping has taken place on Seal and Green Islands in Maine since at least the mid 1990s. Aerial survey data from these sites indicate that pup production is increasing. A minimum of 2,620 pups (Muskeget= 2,095, Green= 59, Seal= 466) were born in the U.S. in 2008 (Wood LaFond 2009). Table 2 summarizes single-day pup counts from the three U.S. pupping colonies from 2001/2002 to 2007/2008 pupping periods. The decrease in pup counts in some years is an artifact of survey timing and not indicative of true declines in those years. In recent years NMFS monitoring surveys have detected an occasional mother/pup (white coats) pair on both Monomoy Island and Nomsans Land in Massachusetts. Some of the local breeders have been observed with brands and tags indicating they had been born on Sable Island, Canada (Rough 1995; L. Sette, pers. comm., Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies). The increase in the number of gray seals observed in the U.S. is probably due to both natural increase and immigration.

Gray seals are also observed in New England outside of the pupping season. In April-May 1994 a maximum count of 2,010 was obtained for Muskeget Island and Monomoy combined (Rough 1995). Maine coast-wide surveys conducted during summer revealed 597 and 1,731 gray seals in 1993 and 2001, respectively (Gilbert et al. 2005). In March 1999 a maximum count of 5,611 was obtained in the region south of Maine (between Isles of Shoals, Maine and Woods Hole, Massachusetts) (Barlas 1999). In March 2011 a maximum count of 15,756 was obtained in southeastern Massachusetts coastal waters (NMFS unpubl. data). No gray seals were recorded at haul-out sites between Newport, Rhode Island and Montauk Pt., New York (Barlas 1999), currently several hundred gray seals have been recorded in surveys conducted off eastern Long Island (R. DiGiovanni, pers. comm., The Riverhead Foundation for Research and Preservation, Riverhead, NY).
Minimum Population Estimate

Based on modeling, the total Canadian gray seal population was estimated to be 331,000 (95% CI 263,000-458,000) (Hammill et al. 2012DFO 2013). Present data are insufficient to calculate the minimum population estimate for U.S. waters.

Current Population Trend

Gray seal abundance is likely increasing in the U.S. Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), but the rate of increase is unknown. The population in eastern Canada was greatly reduced by hunting and bounty programs, and in the 1950s the gray seal was considered rare (Lesage and Hammill 2001). The Sable Island, Nova Scotia, population was less affected and has been increasing for several decades. Pup production on Sable Island increased exponentially at a rate of 12.8% per year between the 1970s and 1997 (Stobo and Zwanenburg 1990; Mohn and Bowen 1996; Bowen et al. 2003; Trzcinski et al. 2005; Bowen et al. 2007; DFO 2011a), but has declined to about 4% per year between 2007 and 2010, and 2.8% from 2010 to 2012 (DFO 2011a, 2012). The non-Sable Island population increased from approximately 25,000 in the mid-1980s to a peak of 71,500 in 2010 (Thomas et al. 2011). Modeling estimates of pup production increased from approximately 6,000 in 1985 to 17,400 in 2010 (Thomas et al. 2011). Approximately 70% of the western North Atlantic population is from the Sable Island stock. In the early 1990s pupping was established on Hay Island, off the Cape Breton coast (Lesage and Hammill 2001; Hammill et al. 2012).

Surveys of winter breeding colonies in Maine and on Muskeget Island may provide some measure of gray seal population trends and expansion in distribution. Sightings in New England increased during the 1980s as the gray seal population and range expanded in eastern Canada. Five pups were born at Muskeget in 1988. The number of pups increased to 12 in 1992, 30 in 1993, and 59 in 1994 (Rough 1995). In January 2002, 883 pups were counted on Muskeget Island and surrounding shoals (Wood Lafond 2009). In recent years NMFS monitoring surveys have detected an occasional mother/pup (white coats) pair on both Monomoy Island and Nmans Land. These observations continue the increasing trend in pup production reported by Rough (1995). The change in gray seal counts from southeastern Massachusetts (i.e., Monomoy, Muskeget and adjacent tidal bars) from 5,611 in spring 1999 to 15,756 in spring 2011 represents an annual increase of 8.6%, however, it has not been determined what proportion of the increase represents growth or immigration. For example, a few gray seals branded as pups on Sable Island in the 1970s and 2000s (Stobo and Zwanenburg 1990; C. den Heyer, pers. comm. DFO, Halifax) and satellite-tagged adults have been sighted in the Cape Cod region.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. Recent studies estimated the current annual rate of increase at 2.8% between 2010 and 2012 on Sable Island (Hammill et al. 2012), continuing a decline in the rate of increase (Trzcinski et al. 2005; Bowen et al. 2007; Thomas et al. 2011). Overall, population growth in the three Canadian breeding herds appears to be leveling off (DFO 2013). For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.12. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that pinniped populations may not grow at rates much greater than 12% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow et al. 1995).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a recovery factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size in U.S. waters is unknown. The maximum productivity rate is 0.12, the default value for pinnipeds. The recovery factor \( F_R \) for this stock is 1.0, the value for stocks of unknown status, but which are known to be increasing. PBR for the western North Atlantic gray seals in U.S. waters is unknown.

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

For the period 2007-2011, the average estimated human caused mortality and serious injury to gray seals was 4959 per year. The average was derived from five components: 1) 1,100 (CV=0.11) (Table 3) from the 2007-2011 U.S. observed fishery; 2) 9 from average 2007-2011 non-fishery related, human interaction stranding mortalities (NMFS unpublished data); 3) 750 from average 2007-2011 kill in the Canadian hunt (DFO 2013); 4) 81 from average 2007-2011 DFO scientific collections (DFO 2013); and 5) 3,019 from average 2007-2011 removals of nuisance animals in Canada (DFO 2013).
New Serious Injury Guidelines

NMFS updated its serious injury designation and reporting process, which uses guidance from previous serious injury workshops, expert opinion, and analysis of historic injury cases to develop new criteria for distinguishing serious from non-serious injury (Angliss and DeMaster 1998; Andersen et al. 2008; NOAA 2012). NMFS defines serious injury as an “injury that is more likely than not to result in mortality”. Injury determinations for stock assessments revised in 2013 or later incorporate the new serious injury guidelines, based on the most recent 5-year period for which data are available.

Fishery Information

Detailed fishery information is given in Appendix III.

U.S. Northeast Sink Gillnet

Annual estimates of gray seal bycatch in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery reflect seasonal distribution of the species and of fishing effort. There were 375 gray seal mortalities observed in the Northeast sink gillnet fishery between 1993 and 2010. Estimated annual mortalities (CV in parentheses) from this fishery were 0 in 1990-1992, 18 in 1993 (1.00), 19 in 1994 (0.95), 117 in 1995 (0.42), 49 in 1996 (0.49), 131 in 1997 (0.50), 61 in 1998 (0.98), 155 in 1999 (0.51), 193 in 2000 (0.55), 117 in 2001 (0.59), 0 in 2002, 242 (0.47) in 2003, 504 (0.34) in 2004, 574 (0.44) in 2005, 314 (0.22) in 2006, 886 (0.24) in 2007, 618 (0.23) in 2008, 1,063 (0.26) in 2009, 1,155 (0.28) in 2010, and 1,491 (0.22) in 2011 (Table 3; Orphanides 2013). There were 2, 9, 14, 8, 14, 6, 8, 7 and 9 unidentified seals observed during 2003-2011, respectively. Since 1997 unidentified seals have not been prorated to a species. This is consistent with the treatment of other unidentified mammals that do not get prorated to a specific species. Average annual estimated fishery-related mortality and serious injury to this stock attributable to this fishery during 2007-2011 was 1,043 gray seals (CV=0.11) (Table 3). The stratification design used is the same as that for harbor porpoise (Bravington and Bisack 1996).

Mid-Atlantic Gillnet

Gray seal interactions were first observed in this fishery in 2010, when nine gray seal and 2 unidentified seal mortalities were observed. In 2011, 1 unidentified seal and 2 gray seal mortalities were observed in this fishery. Annual estimated fishery-related mortality and serious injury (CV in parentheses) to this stock attributable to this fishery was 267 (0.75) in 2010 and 19 (0.60) in 2011 (Table 3; Orphanides 2013). Average annual estimated fishery-related mortality and serious injury to this stock attributable to this fishery during 2007-2011 was 57 gray seals (CV=0.70) (Table 3).

Mid-Atlantic Mid-Water Trawl

One gray seal mortality was observed in 2010 in this fishery. An expanded bycatch estimate has not been generated. Until this bycatch estimate can be developed, the average annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury for 2007-2011 is calculated as 0.2 animals (1 animal /5 years).

Gulf of Maine Atlantic Herring Purse Seine Fishery

The Gulf of Maine Atlantic Herring Purse Seine Fishery is a Category III fishery. This fishery was not observed until 2003, and was not observed in 2006. No mortalities have been observed, but 15 gray seals were captured and released alive in 2004, 19 in 2005, 0 in 2007, 6 in 2008, 0 in 2009, 4 in 2010 and 34 in 2011. In addition, 5 seals of unknown species were captured and released alive in 2004, 2 in 2005, 1 in 2007, none in 2008-2010 and 8 in 2011. Two seals of unknown species were designated as serious injuries/mortalities in 2011, based on fisheries monitoring logs (Waring et al. in prep.).

Northeast Bottom Trawl

Vessels in the North Atlantic bottom trawl fishery, a Category III fishery under MMPA, were observed in order to meet fishery management, rather than marine mammal management needs. No mortalities were observed prior to 2005, when four mortalities were attributed to this fishery. No mortalities were observed in 2006. The estimated annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury attributable to this fishery was 0 between 2001 and 2004, and for 2006. Nine gray seal mortalities were attributed to this fishery in 2007, 4 in 2008, 5 in 2009, 10 in 2010, and 18 in 2011. Estimates have not been generated. Until this bycatch estimate can be developed, the average annual fishery-related mortality and serious injury for 2007-2011 is calculated as 9.2 animals (46 animals /5 years).
An unknown number of gray seals have been taken in Newfoundland and Labrador, Gulf of St. Lawrence, and Bay of Fundy groundfish gillnets, Atlantic Canada and Greenland salmon gillnets, Atlantic Canada cod traps, and in Bay of Fundy herring weirs (Read 1994). In addition to incidental catches, some mortalities (e.g., seals trapped in herring weirs) were the result of direct shooting, and there were culls of about 1,700 animals annually during the 1970s and early 1980s on Sable Island (Anonymous 1986).

In 1996, observers recorded 3 gray seals (1 released alive) in Spanish deep-water trawl fishing on the southern edge of the Grand Banks (NAFO Areas 3) (Lens 1997). Seal bycatch occurred year-round, but interactions were highest during April-June. Many of the seals that died during fishing activities were unidentified. The proportion of sets with mortality (all seals) was 2.7 per 1,000 hauls (0.003).

### Table 3. Summary of the incidental mortality of gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*) by commercial fishery including the years sampled, the type of data used, the annual observer coverage, the serious injuries and mortalities recorded by on-board observers, the estimated annual mortality, the estimated CV of the annual mortality and the mean annual combined mortality (CV in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Observer Coverage</th>
<th>Observed Serious Injury</th>
<th>Observed Mortality</th>
<th>Estimated Serious Injury</th>
<th>Estimated Mortality</th>
<th>Estimated Combined Mortality</th>
<th>Estimated CVs</th>
<th>Mean Annual Combined Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Sink Gillnet</td>
<td>07-11</td>
<td>Obs. Data, Weighout, Trip Logbook</td>
<td>.07, .05, .04, .17, .19</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>80, 31, 52, 107, 222</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>886, 618, 1063, 1155, 1491</td>
<td>886, 618, 1063, 1155, 1491</td>
<td>.24, .23, .26, .28, .22</td>
<td>1043 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic Gillnet</td>
<td>07-11</td>
<td>Obs. Data, Trip Logbook, Allocated Dealer Data</td>
<td>.04, .03, .03, .04, .02</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 9, 2, 19</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 267, 19</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 267, 19</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, .75, .60</td>
<td>57 (0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>07-11</td>
<td>Obs. Data, Trip Logbook</td>
<td>.06, .08, .09, .16, .26</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>9, 4, 5, 10, 18</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>unk d, unk a, unk d, unk a, unk a</td>
<td>unk d, unk a, unk a, unk a, unk a</td>
<td>9.2 (na)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic Midwater Trawl - Including Pair Trawl</td>
<td>07-11</td>
<td>Obs. Data, Trip Logbook</td>
<td>.039, .13, .13, .25, .41</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 1, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, na, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 0, na, 0</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, unk d, 0</td>
<td>0.2 (na)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1109 (0.11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **a.** Observer data (Obs. Data) are used to measure bycatch rates, and the data are collected within the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program. The Northeast Fisheries Observer Program collects landings data (Weighout), and total landings are used as a measure of total effort for the sink gillnet fishery. Mandatory logbook (Logbook) data are used to determine the spatial distribution of fishing effort in the Northeast multispecies sink gillnet fishery.

- **b.** The observer coverages for the Northeast sink gillnet fishery and the mid-Atlantic gillnet fisheries are ratios based on tons of fish landed. North Atlantic bottom trawl mid-Atlantic bottom trawl, and mid-Atlantic mid-water trawl fishery coverages are ratios based on trips. Total observer coverage reported for bottom trawl gear and gillnet gear in the years 2010 and 2011 include traditional fisheries observers in addition to fishery monitors through the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP). c. Since 1998, takes from pingered and non-pingered nets within a marine mammal time/area closure that required pingers, and takes from pingered and non-pingered nets not within a marine mammal time/area closure were pooled. The pooled bycatch rate was weighted by the total number of samples taken from the stratum and used to estimate the mortality. In 2007-2011, respectively, 8, 4, 13, 17 and 125 takes were observed in nets with pingers. In 2007-2011, respectively, 8, 72, 27, 39, 90, and 97 takes were observed in nets without pingers.

- **d.** Analysis of bycatch mortality attributed to the Northeast bottom trawl fishery and midwater trawl fishery has not been generated. Unexpanded values are provisionally provided.

- **e.** Serious injuries were evaluated for the 2007-2011 period using new guidelines (Waring et al. in prep.)
Other Mortality

Canada: In Canada, gray seals were hunted for several centuries by indigenous people and European settlers in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and along the Nova Scotia eastern shore, and were locally extirpated (Laviguer and Hammill 1993). Between 1999 and 2012 the annual kill of gray seals by hunters in Canada was: 1999 (98), 2000 (342), 2001 (76), 2002 (126), 2003 (6), 2004 (0), 2005 (1073), 2006 (1,857) 2007 (1747), 2008 (1,471), 2009 (263), 2010 (58), 2011 (215) and 2012 (200). (DFO 2003; 2008; 2009; 2011b; 2013). The traditional hunt of a few hundred animals is expected to continue off the Magdalen Islands and in other areas, except Sable Island where commercial hunting is not permitted (DFO 2003). DFO established a total allowable catch (TAC) of 12,000 gray seals for 2007 and 2008: 2,000 in the Gulf and 10,000 on the Scotian Shelf. The TAC for 2009 and 2010 was 50,000 seals, and for 2011 and 2012 it was set at 60,000. Since 2007, a small commercial hunt has taken place on Hay Island in Nova Scotia (http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/seal-phoque/faq-eng.htm). The Hay Island TAC for 2010 was 2,220 (DFO 2011c), and for 2011 and 2012 it was set at 1,900(http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/decisions/fm-2012-gp/atl-002-eng.htm, accessed 27 February 2013). The hunting of gray seals will continue to be prohibited on Sable Island (DFO 2011b).

Canada also issues personal hunting licenses which allow the holder to take six gray seals annually (Lesage and Hammill 2001; DFO 2011b). Hunting is not permitted during the breeding season and some additional seasonal/spatial restrictions are in effect (Lesage and Hammill 2001). Further, between 2005 and 2012 the lethal removal of nuisance seals was: 2005 (3105), 2006 (3437), 2007 (3373), 2008 (3334), 2009 (3381), 2010 (2933), 2011 (2076), and 2012 (3000) (DFO 2011b).

For scientific collections, DFO took 87, 320, and 90 animals, respectively in 2007, 2011, and 2012 (DFO 2013).

U.S: Gray seals, like harbor seals, were hunted for bounty in New England waters until the late 1960s (Katona, et al. 1993; Lelli, et al. 2009). This hunt may have severely depleted this stock in U.S. waters (Rough 1995; Lelli, et al. 2009). Other sources of mortality include human interactions, storms, abandonment by the mother, disease, and predation. Mortalities caused by human interactions include boat strikes, fishing gear interactions, power plant entrainment, oil spill/exposure, harassment, and shooting. Seals entangled in netting have been reported at several major haul-out sites in the Gulf of Maine.

From 2007 to 2011 488 gray seal stranding mortalities were recorded, extending from Maine to North Carolina (Table 4; NMFS unpublished data). Most stranding mortalities were in Massachusetts, which is the center of gray seal abundance in U.S. waters. Seventy-five (15.4%) of the total stranding mortalities showed signs of human interaction (8 in 2007, 21 in 2008, 14 in 2009, 12 in 2010, and 20 in 2011), 30 of which had some indication of fishery interaction (5 in 2007, 7 in 2008, 9 in 2009, 4 in 2010, and 5 in 2011). Ten gray seals are recorded in the NE stranding database during the 2007 to 2011 period as having been shot – one in Maine in 2009 and one in Maine and two in Massachusetts in 2010 and 6 in Massachusetts in 2011.
Table 4. Gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*) stranding mortalities\(^a\) along the U.S. Atlantic coast (2007-2011) with subtotals of animals recorded as pups in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>50 (9)</td>
<td>53 (4)</td>
<td>52 (7)</td>
<td>43 (5)</td>
<td>89 (14)</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10 (2)</td>
<td>8 (3)</td>
<td>14 (2)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>21 (17)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>16 (7)</td>
<td>10 (7)</td>
<td>22 (6)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90 (32)</td>
<td>75 (9)</td>
<td>91 (19)</td>
<td>76 (20)</td>
<td>156 (29)</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified seals (all states)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Mortalities include those which stranded dead, died at site, were euthanized, died during transport, or died soon after transfer to rehab.

**STATUS OF STOCK**

Gray seals are not listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act, and the western North Atlantic stock is not considered strategic under the Marine Mammal Protection Act. The level of human-caused mortality and serious injury in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown, but believed to be very low relative to the total stock size. The status of the gray seal population relative to OSP in U.S. Atlantic EEZ waters is unknown, but the stock’s abundance appears to be increasing in Canadian and U.S. waters. The total U.S. fishery-related mortality and serious injury for this stock is low relative to the stock size in Canadian and U.S. waters and can be considered insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate.
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