CUVIER'S BEAKED WHALE (Ziphius cavirostris):
Western North Atlantic Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

The distribution of Cuvier's beaked whales is poorly known, and is based mainly on stranding records (Leatherwood et al. 1976). Strandings have been reported from Nova Scotia along the eastern U.S. coast south to Florida, around the Gulf of Mexico, and within the Caribbean (Leatherwood et al. 1976; CETAP 1982; Heyning 1989; Houston 1990; Mignucci-Giannoni et al. 1999). Stock structure in the North Atlantic is unknown.

Cuvier's beaked whale sightings have occurred principally along the continental shelf edge in the Mid-Atlantic region off the northeast U.S. coast (CETAP 1982; Waring et al. 1992; Waring et al. 2001; Palka et al. Unpubl. Ms.). Most sightings were in late spring or summer.

POPULATION SIZE

The total number of Cuvier's beaked whales off the eastern U.S. and Canadian Atlantic coast is unknown.

However, several estimates of the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales (Ziphius and Mesoplodon spp.) from selected regions of the habitat do exist for select time periods. Sightings were almost exclusively in the continental shelf edge and continental slope areas (Figure 1). An abundance of 120 undifferentiated beaked whales (CV=0.71) was estimated from an aerial survey program conducted from 1978 to 1982 on the continental shelf and shelf edge waters between Cape Hatteras, North Carolina and Nova Scotia (CETAP 1982). An abundance of 442 (CV=0.51) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from an

August 1990 shipboard line transect sighting survey, conducted principally along the Gulf Stream north wall between Cape Hatteras and Georges Bank (NMFS 1990; Waring et al. 1992). An abundance of 262 (CV=0.99) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a June and July 1991 shipboard line transect sighting survey conducted primarily between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths from Cape Hatteras to Georges Bank (Waring et al. 1992; Waring 1998). An abundance of 370 (CV=0.65) and 612 (CV=0.73) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from line transect aerial surveys conducted from August to September 1991 using the Twin Otter and AT-11, respectively (NMFS 1991). As recommended in the GAMMS Workshop Report (Wade and Angliss 1997), estimates older than eight years are deemed unreliable, therefore should not be used for PBR determinations. Further, due to changes in survey methodology these data should not be used to make comparisons to more current estimates.

An abundance of 330 (CV=0.66) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a June and July 1993 shipboard line transect sighting survey conducted principally between the 200 and 2,000 m isobaths from the southern edge of Georges Bank, across the Northeast Channel to the southeastern edge of the Scotian Shelf (NMFS 1993). Data were collected by two alternating teams that searched with 25x150 binoculars and were analyzed using DISTANCE (Buckland et al. 1993). Estimates include school-size bias, if applicable, but do not include corrections for g(0) or dive-time. Variability was estimated using bootstrap resampling techniques.

An abundance of 99 (CV=0.64) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from an August 1994 shipboard line transect survey conducted within a Gulf Stream warm-core ring located in continental slope waters southeast of Georges Bank ( NMFS 1994). Data were collected by two alternating teams that searched with 25x150 binoculars and an independent observer who searched by naked eye from a separate platform on the bow. Data were analyzed using DISTANCE (Buckland et al. 1993). Estimates include school-size bias, if applicable, but do not include corrections for g(0) or dive-time. Variability was estimated using bootstrap resampling techniques.
An abundance of 1,519 (CV=0.69) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a July to September 1995 sighting survey conducted by two ships and an airplane that covered waters from Virginia to the mouth of the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Palka et al. Unpubl. Ms.). Total track line length was 32,600 km. The ships covered waters between the 50 and 1,000 fathom isobaths, the northern edge of the Gulf Stream, and the northern Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy region. The airplane covered waters in the Mid-Atlantic from the coastline to the 50 fathom isobath, the southern Gulf of Maine, and shelf waters off Nova Scotia from the coastline to the 1,000 fathom isobath. Data collection and analysis methods used were described in Palka (1996).

An abundance of 2,600 (CV=0.40) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a line transect sighting survey conducted during 6 July to 6 September 1998 by a ship and plane that surveyed 15,900 km of track line in waters north of Maryland (38ºN) (Figure 1; Palka et al. Unpubl. Ms.). Shipboard data were analyzed using the modified direct duplicate method (Palka 1995) that accounts for school size bias and \( g(0) \), the probability of detecting a group on the track line. Aerial data were not corrected for \( g(0) \).

An abundance of 541 (CV=0.55) undifferentiated beaked whales was estimated from a shipboard line transect sighting survey conducted between 8 July and 17 August 1998 that surveyed 4,163 km of track line in waters south of Maryland (38ºN) (Figure 1; Mullin and Fulling 2003). This estimate is a recalculation of the same data reported in previous SARs. For more details, see Mullin and Fulling (2003). Abundance estimates were made using the program DISTANCE (Buckland et al. 1993) where school size bias and ship attraction were accounted for.

The best 1998 abundance estimate for undifferentiated beaked whales is the sum of the estimates from the two U.S. Atlantic surveys, 3,141 (CV=0.34), where the estimate from the northern U.S. Atlantic is 2,600 (CV=0.40) and from the southern U.S. Atlantic is 541 (CV=0.55). This joint estimate is considered best because together these two surveys have the most complete coverage of the species’ habitat.

An abundance of 2,211 (CV=0.58) for beaked whales was estimated from a line-transect sighting survey conducted during 12 June to 4 August 2004 by a ship and plane that surveyed 10,761 km of track line in waters north of Maryland (38ºN) to the Bay of Fundy (45ºN) (Figure 1; Palka unpubl.). Shipboard data were collected using the two independent team line transect method and analyzed using the modified direct duplicate method (Palka 1995) accounting for biases due to school size and other potential covariates, reactive movements (Palka and Hammond 2001), and \( g(0) \), the probability of detecting a group on the track line. Aerial data were collected using the Hiby circle-back line-transect method (Hiby 1999) and analyzed accounting for \( g(0) \) and biases due to school size and other potential covariates (Figure 1; Palka unpubl.).

A shipboard survey of the U.S. Atlantic outer continental shelf and continental slope (water depths >50 m) between Florida and Maryland (27.5 and 38ºN latitude) was conducted during June-August, 2004. The survey employed two independent visual teams searching with 50x bigeye binoculars. Survey effort was stratified to include increased effort along the continental shelf break and Gulf Stream front in the Mid-Atlantic. The survey included 5,659 km of trackline, and there were a total of 473 cetacean sightings. Sightings were most frequent in waters north of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina along the shelf break. Data were analyzed to correct for visibility bias \( g(0) \) and group-size bias employing line transect distance analysis and the direct duplicate estimator (Palka, 1995; Buckland et al., 2001). The resulting abundance estimate for beaked whales between Florida and Maryland was 674 (CV =0.36).

The best 2004 abundance estimate for beaked whales is the sum of the estimates from the two 2004 U.S. Atlantic surveys, 3,513(CV =0.63), where the estimate from the northern U.S. Atlantic is 2,839 (CV =0.78), and from the southern U.S. Atlantic is 674 (CV =0.36). This joint estimate is considered best because together these two surveys have the most complete coverage of the species’ habitat.

Although the 1990-2004 surveys did not sample exactly the same areas or encompass the entire beaked whale habitat, they did focus on segments of known or suspected high-use habitats off the northeastern U.S. coast. The collective 1990-2004 data suggest that, seasonally, at least several thousand beaked whales are occupying these waters, with highest levels of abundance in the Georges Bank region. Recent results suggest that beaked whale abundance may be highest in association with Gulf Stream and warm-core ring features.

Because the estimates presented here were not dive-time corrected, they are likely negatively biased and probably underestimate actual abundance. Given that *Mesoplodon* spp. prefers deep-water habitats (Mead 1989) the bias may be substantial.
Table 1. Summary of abundance estimates for the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales which include *Ziphius* and *Mesoplodon* spp. Month, year, and area covered during each abundance survey, and resulting abundance estimate \(N_{\text{best}}\) and coefficient of variation (CV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>(N_{\text{best}})</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul-Sep 1998</td>
<td>Maryland to Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-Aug 1998</td>
<td>Florida to Maryland</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-Sep 1998</td>
<td>Florida to Gulf of St. Lawrence (COMBINED)</td>
<td>3,141</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Maryland to the Bay of Fundy</td>
<td>2,839</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Florida to Maryland</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-Aug 2004</td>
<td>Florida to Bay of Fundy (COMBINED)</td>
<td>3,513</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minimum Population Estimate**

The minimum population estimate is the lower limit of the two-tailed 60% confidence interval of the log-normally distributed best abundance estimate. This is equivalent to the 20th percentile of the log-normal distribution as specified by Wade and Angliss (1997). The best estimate of abundance for undifferentiated beaked whales is 3,513 \((CV = 0.63)\). The minimum population estimate for the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales \((Ziphius\) and *Mesoplodon* spp.) is 2,154. It is not possible to determine the minimum population estimate of only Cuvier’s beaked whales.

**Current Population Trend**

There are insufficient data to determine the population trends for this species.

**CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES**

Current and maximum net productivity rates are unknown for this stock. Life history parameters that could be used to estimate net productivity include: length at birth is 2 to 3 m, length at sexual maturity is 6.1 m for females, and 5.5 m for males, maximum age for females were 30 growth layer groups (GLG’s) and for males was 36 GLG’s, which may be annual layers (Mitchell 1975; Mead 1984; Houston 1990).

For purposes of this assessment, the maximum net productivity rate was assumed to be 0.04. This value is based on theoretical modeling showing that cetacean populations may not grow at rates much greater than 4% given the constraints of their reproductive life history (Barlow et al. 1995).

**POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL**

Potential Biological Removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum productivity rate, and a “recovery” factor (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997). The minimum population size for the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales is 2,154. The maximum productivity rate is 0.04, the default value for cetaceans. The “recovery” factor, which accounts for endangered, depleted, threatened stocks, or stocks of unknown status relative to optimum sustainable population (OSP) is assumed to be 0.5 because this stock is of unknown status. PBR for all species in the undifferentiated complex of beaked whales \((Ziphius\) and *Mesoplodon* spp.) is 22. It is not possible to determine the PBR for only Cuvier’s beaked whales.

**ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY**

The 1999-2003 total average estimated annual mortality of beaked whales in fisheries in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ was 1.0 and is derived from three components: 1) two stranded animals were entangled in fishing gear, 2) two animals were ship struck, and 3) one stranded animal died from acoustic or blunt trauma - see other mortality text and (Table 2).

**Fishery Information**

Detailed fishery information is reported in Appendix III.

Total fishery-related mortality and serious injury cannot be estimated separately for each beaked whale species because of the uncertainty in species identification by fishery observers. The Atlantic Scientific Review Group advised adopting the risk-averse strategy of assuming that any beaked whale stock which occurred in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ might have been subject to the observed fishery-related mortality and serious injury.
Earlier Interactions

There is no historical information available that documents incidental mortality of beaked whales in either U.S. or Canadian Atlantic coast fisheries (Read 1994). The only documented bycatch of beaked whales is in the pelagic drift gillnet fishery (now prohibited). The bycatch only occurred from Georges Canyon to Hydrographer Canyon along the continental shelf break and continental slope during July to October. Forty-six fishery-related beaked whale mortalities were observed between 1989 and 1998. These included 24 Sowerby’s, 4 True’s, 1 Cuvier’s and 17 undifferentiated beaked whales. Recent analyses of biological samples (genetics and morphological analysis) have been used to determine species identifications for some of the bycaught animals. Estimated bycatch mortality by species is available for the 1994-1998 period. Prior estimates are for undifferentiated beaked whales. The estimated annual fishery-related mortality (CV in parentheses) was 60 in 1989 (0.21), 76 in 1990 (0.26), 13 in 1991 (0.21), 9.7 in 1992 (0.24) and 12 in 1993 (0.16). The 1994-1998 estimates by ‘species’ are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cuvier’s</th>
<th>Sowerby’s</th>
<th>True’s</th>
<th>Mesoplodon spp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1 (0.14)</td>
<td>3 (0.09)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (0.12)</td>
<td>2 (0.26)</td>
<td>2 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>7 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During July 1996, one beaked whale was entangled and released alive with “gear in/around a single body part”. Annual mortality estimates do not include any animals injured and released alive.

Other Mortality

From 1992 to 2000, a total of 53 beaked whales stranded along the U.S. Atlantic coast between Florida and Massachusetts (NMFS unpublished data). This includes: 28 (includes one tentative identification) Gervais’ beaked whales (one 1997 animal had plastics in esophagus and stomach, and Sargassum in esophagus; 2 animals that stranded in September 1998 in South Carolina showed signs of fishery interactions); 2 True's beaked whales; 5 Blainville’s beaked whales; 1 Sowerby’s beaked whale; 13 Cuvier's beaked whales (one 1996 animal had propeller marks, and one 2000 animal had a longline hook in the lower jaw) and 4 unidentified animals.

One stranding of Sowerby’s beaked whale was recorded on Sable Island between 1970-1998 (Lucas and Hooker 2000). The whale’s body was marked by wounds made by the cookiecutter shark (Isistius brasiliensis), which has previously been observed on beaked whales (Lucas and Hooker 2000).

Also, several unusual mass strandings of beaked whales in North Atlantic marine environments have been associated with Naval activities. During the mid- late 1980's multiple mass strandings of Cuvier’s beaked whales (4 to about 20 per event) and small numbers of Gervais’ beaked whale and Blainville’s beaked whale occurred in the Canary Islands (Simmonds and Lopez-Jurado 1991). Twelve Cuvier’s beaked whales that live stranded and subsequently died in the Mediterranean Sea on 12-13 May 1996 were associated with low frequency acoustic sonar tests conducted by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (Frantzis 1998). In March 2000, 14 beaked whales live stranded in the Bahamas; 6 beaked whales (5 Cuvier’s and 1 Blainville’s) died (Balcomb and Claridge 2001; Evans and England 2001; Cox et al., in review). Four Cuvier’s, 2 Blainville’s and 2 unidentified beaked whales were returned to sea. The fate of the animals returned to sea is unknown, since none of the whales have been resighted. Necropsies of 6 dead beaked whales revealed evidence of tissue trauma associated with an acoustic or impulse injury that caused the animals to strand. Subsequently, the animals died due to extreme physiologic stress associated with the physical stranding (i.e., hyperthermia, high endogenous catecholamine release) (Cox et al., in review).

During 2001-2003, twenty-four beaked whales stranded along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Table 2).
Table 2. Beaked whale (Ziphius cavirostris and Mesoplodon sp.) reported strandings along the U.S. Atlantic coast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>M. mirus (1)</td>
<td>M. bidens (1)c</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>M. europaeus (2)b</td>
<td>M. mirus (1)d</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>M. europaeus (1)</td>
<td>Unid. (1)</td>
<td>M. europaeus (2)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesoplodon spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mesoplodon spp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>M. europaeus (2)</td>
<td>Ziphius (1)</td>
<td>Ziphius (2)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>M. europaeus (4)a</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Ziphius (1)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ziphius (1)</td>
<td>M. europaeus (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Acoustic or blunt trauma was the assigned cause of mortality for one animal stranded in Broward County in Sept.
- Ship strike was the likely cause of death for one animal
- Boat strike was the likely cause of death
- Entanglement in fishing gear was the likely cause of death
- The cause of death for most of the stranded animals could not be determined.

STATUS OF STOCK

The status of Cuvier's beaked whale relative to OSP in the U.S. Atlantic EEZ is unknown. This species is not listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act. Although a species specific PBR cannot be determined, the permanent closure of the pelagic drift gillnet fishery has eliminated the principal known source of incidental fishery mortality. The total fishery mortality and serious injury for this group is less than 10% of the calculated PBR and, therefore, can be considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. This is a strategic stock because of uncertainty regarding stock size and evidence of human induced mortality and serious injury associated with acoustic activities.
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